

THE GUARDIAN
WEEKLY

Europeans refuse to back Britain

A Matter of Honour

Report, page 3
Profiles, page 4

Mr Archer was not an elected politician. He did not have access to state secrets, so bracketing his name, however unfairly, with a prostitute, could not be presented as a security risk. It was his association with the party rank and file, and what the party stood for, that made his going essential. You cannot be the party of family life and Victorian values and then complain if you are judged by these standards. Politically the damage should not last long, only add to the rather tawdry atmosphere which surrounds aspects of the Tory Party. This is shown in its more serious form in the *Weekend affair* which the Commons debates this week. Here are issues of morality which are most certainly public.

BRITAIN broke off diplomatic relations with Syria last week after evidence at the El Al bomb plot trial that the Syrian Government and its ambassador in London, Dr Loulou Allah Haydar, had been implicated. The British Foreign Office has independent evidence of the Syrian involvement. At the trial Nezar Hindawi, aged 35, a Jordanian, was found guilty of plotting to blow up the Boeing 747 liner on a flight from London to Tel Aviv and was sentenced to 45 years' imprisonment. Britain had hoped for support from its European partners for its actions against Damascus, similar to that from the US and Canada, which withdrew their ambassadors, but Community foreign ministers offered only "understanding and support" of

the decision to bank links. They flatly rejected any of the other more important concrete measures suggested by the Foreign Secretary, Sir Geoffrey Howe. The outcome is bound to infuriate Mrs Thatcher and dismay the US Administration, which announced its own measures on Monday, including travel restrictions on Syrian diplomats stationed in the US, a ban on flights by Syrian Arab Airlines to the United States, stepped up security arrangements at US airports, and putting some pressure on the Saudi Government to halt economic aid to Syria. The US would also issue a "travel advisory", warning Americans not to travel to the country.

(Reports, pages 5 and 6)

First steps and second thoughts

THE deep complicity of Damascus in an almost successful plot to kill 380 people on board an Airbus A1 jumbo flying from Heathrow left the Government with no immediate option but to close the Syrian Embassy and break diplomatic relations. We now know that Nezar Hindawi, acting on a Syrian briefing, carried out one of the least forgivable crimes ever to have been recited at the Old Bailey. We also know what has long been suspected, that the Syrian Embassy, though it doesn't shoot so randomly from the hip, is at least as closely involved in terrorism as the Libyan People's Bureau was, and with a larger hit list. Indeed, to judge by the narrative, the US Defence Department may have picked the wrong target in revenge for the bombing in Berlin — though that emphatically does not mean it should now look for another. We can suspect that the Hindawi trial will provoke untold thousands not confined to the Middle East. What we don't know, and probably never will, is in which of the murky depths of intrigue the plot was conceived, and to what degree President Hafiz Al-Assad gave his personal blessing.

Did Mr Assad give general authority for a vengeance attack after the humiliating Israeli interception of an aircraft bound for Syria? Did he leave the details, including the murder by the bomber of his pregnant girlfriend, to less fastidious brigadier-generals and lieutenant-colonels in the Syrian Intelligence Service? Even that degree of excuplation is not allowed him by some Syrians in the know. They are fearful, and rightly so after the Hindawi episode, that his means to achieving his ambitions for Syria may know no bounds. David Hirst's exploration of that labyrinth, on page 6, is as expert as any I have read. But it is as unknown as what he made. But there is no doubt about the planning of the attack, sales into significance against the knowledge that, as the IRA said after the Brighton bombing, the defenders can succeed any number of times but the attackers need succeed only once.

the immediate impact to sever relations was inevitable, even though the consequences may not have been thought through. Clearly Dr Loutof Allah Haydar and all others named in the trial had to be bundled out of the court of St James's with as little courtesy as could be spared. The dictum that relations are needed even with obnoxious regimes is momentarily overridden, and understandably so. For it would not be enough to impose the tightest surveillance on the crews of Syrian Arab Airlines. How could we be sure that the airline method of delivering explosives to the Royal Garden Hotel was not superseded by the diplomatic bag method direct to Belgrave Square?

Regimes which ignore the conventions on which diplomacy rests are something with which governments generally have yet come to terms. By creating a common frontier against the terrorist suspects the Europeans have struck a seemingly tough posture, but



**Dr Loutof Allah Haydar, implicated
in El-Al bomb plot.**

It is likely to cause only wry smiles at the Abu Nidal headquarters in Damascus. There are ways and means, the Hinduist dual passports and travel-contingent entry visas showed, to reverse convention on diplomatic immunity: is ever going to consent to the search of diplomatic baggage. It might, of course, be done surreptitiously by a knife-proof method. On this occasion the El Al security guard was one step ahead of the bomber, but that is not usually the case. The terrorist is normally one step ahead of the security services. What other expedients remain to increase diplomatic security (for it can never be guaranteed)?

An expedient work closer scrutiny would be to have two levels of diplomatic immunity. One would apply to governments in the major league whose bage would be immune from search as before. The other would apply to prove or even suspected minor league who could be represented in another capital only if they opened their baggage. The receiving country would, of course, have to submit to the same discipline on sending its own representatives abroad, but so far as is known Britain has no reason for exporting Semtex explosives to Damascus.

Of course the temptation is to say the Middle East terrorism of this kind would disappear if the Israelis and the Palestinians could be induced to negotiate a peace settlement involving the return of land

under Israeli occupation. The same panacea applies elsewhere: solve "The Irish Problem" and that's the end of the IRA. But there is no evidence that the Israeli-Palestinian dispute has a solution as it is traditionally presented. Even if it had, that would not dispose of terrorism automatically. The intercommunal frictions within the Palestinian diaspora and among neighbouring Arab rulers are at least as fierce as those between Israel and Arabs as a whole. Not even the heart of Lebanon that Europe should leave out of the conflict could ever be convincingly convinced. Causes lie as deep within European politics as Middle Eastern and, however desirable it might be that the Arab world should be compartmentalised for purposes of eliminating terrorism, that cannot be brought about.

The contrariness of it all is President Asead's unique position in the Middle East which combines the attributes of shrewd realist and terrorist co-ordinator. Whether we like him or not, he represents a regional power which cannot be ignored. He runs an oppressive regime whose torture record took even Amnesty International aback. He harbours anyone opposing the mainstream PLO in which, if anywhere, the germs of a possible regional settlement lie dormant. He has a record in Lebanon in which he maintained a balance between the warring factions, armistices with Israel and for the most part has played down (even while sometimes talking up) the danger of yet another Arab-Israeli war.

Whether the Israeli Government under Mr Shamir will read the Hindawi trial as a recent predecessor read the attempted murder of the ambassador in London is not one of the big open questions. The attempt on Mr Argov precipitated the Lebanese invasion. Israel has no reason for conflict with Syria which, in conventional arms, is a closer match now than it has been before. And, as seen from Tel-Aviv, the Hindawi trial is one of many incidents apace across Europe (Paris, Madrid, Vienna) — which could have provided a *casus belli*. The attempt by Mr Anthony Gray, QC for Hindawi, to implicate the Israeli intelligence arm Mossad in a frame-up cut little ice with the jury and did not commend itself to the judge. Mossad and its internal counterpart, Shin Bet, are allowed much latitude by the Israeli authorities. Possible that is true of Syrian intelligence also. The real difference is that, but in the two lower levels of conduct which is commonplace in the Middle East it is vital that political realism remains in control in both the main contending capitals.

In sum, the horror of events that might have been provokes simple — and probably inevitable — actions. Not just Israel but America and Germany, too, will be pondering what comes next. But nothing about Syria, its role, its importance, is simple. We know now — in lurid detail — what we guessed before. But that knowledge brings only problems.

1. These prices apply to the Christmas 1988 season. Deliveries begin in October. Prices include carriage within the United Kingdom mainland. Orders must be placed by 15 December 88 to ensure delivery by Christmas.

2. We can accept **Diners Club, Visa/Trust and Access** credit card payments, providing that the following details are included

- Credit Card account number
- Signature of the cardholder
- Billing address of the cardholder
- Expiry Date of the credit card
- Full name of the cardholder

Payment may also be made by cheque or money order. If payment is made in a **FOREIGN CURRENCY** or with a cheque bearing **NO BRITISH ADDRESS**, £5.00 must be added to cover bank clearance charges

3. Please include your own card if you would like your personal greetings to be sent with the hamper. In the case of a multiple order, do make sure that you tell us which card you'd like to go with which parcel, if you would like us to send one of our own. (You can, please tell us the message that you would like us to write.)
4. We will replace, free of charge, any item which is lost, or damaged to an unusable degree, in transit. Your refund will be of such loss or damage must be given within seven days of receipt of the parcel if replacement (or refund) is not possible.
5. Whilst every effort will be made to supply the exact goods, we have to reserve the right to make substitutions of similar or higher quality goods in the event of an unexpected supply failure conflicting with requirements of expedient delivery.
6. Our prices are inclusive of all current United Kingdom domestic taxes and duty.

TRENCHMAN HAMBERS • Hurst House • Hurst • Reading • Berkshire RG10 0SH • U.K.
Telephone: (0734) 342116 • Telex: 958902 EHFH G (Attn: Trenchman Hambers)

* Guardian Publications Ltd. 1985. Published by Guardian Publications Ltd., 164 Deansgate, Manchester, M60 2RR, for and on behalf of The Guardian and Manchester Evening News P.L.C., 184 Deansgate, Manchester M60 2RR. Typeset by News Photosetting Services Ltd., Skelmersdale, Lancs., and printed by LSG Web Offset, Lincoln. Second class postage paid at New York, N.Y., and mailing offices. Postpaid, unless a newspaper at the Post Office.

When the media keep quiet over Star Wars

Star Wars, or the Strategic Defence Initiative, is never likely to shoot down a single missile, but it is proving remarkably successful in shooting down disarmament proposals. It has now shot down the Iceland summit.

It is necessary to understand why Soviet military advisers will not permit Mr Gorbachev to agree to deep cuts in strategic missiles so long as SDI goes ahead. There are no high-tech mysteries here; it is a matter of primary school arithmetic.

No one, least of all the US military, believes in Mr Reagan's prospectus of a leak-proof shield, protecting the peoples of "the free world." What might be in prospect is greatly upgraded ABM defences, including novel space-based technologies, which could (in theory) offer to destroy a proportion of incoming missiles and to make a tight network of defences around small strategic areas, such as missile silo fields.

Such technologies would attain the great goal of the arms race: superiority over the other side. If one side were to put up such defences, the instinct of the military on the other side would be to multiply their ICMBs in order to

overwhelm the defences and regain "parity."

If both sides were to agree to cut their strategic arms by 50 per cent and to be bound by treaty not to increase them, the US, by introducing SDI point-defences, could (in theory) cut the effectiveness of the remaining Soviet armoury by a further 50 per cent. The arithmetic is that the US would come down from 100 to 50; the USSR would also come down to 50, and SDI would then reduce them to 25.

Soviet military advisers will not sanction this reversal of "parity." I am not defending the Soviet position. I consider the mystique of "parity" to be part of the problem, not the solution. But this is the way in which the military on both sides — and in Britain — think.

What is discouraging is that these and other matters relating to SDI have been patiently explained in a hundred places over the past 18 months.

Despite this, the media in this country rabbit on in an illiterate way, treating SDI (if at all) as an exotic high-tech question, and ignoring the manifest political issues. The campaign against Star Wars, initiated last year by END and vigorously joined by CND,

danger to millions of people's innocent lives worth considering, when we welcome in foreign warships? Remember, America even bans its own nuclear warships from entering some of its ports due to these risks.

Is it wrong to care for our planet and act in whatever way we feel comfortable with to ensure life on earth as we know it will continue for our future generations to enjoy? Or is it right to sit back and tolerate being used and abused by nuclear countries in their quest for World supremacy?

Do we need the threat of planetary destruction to keep us at peace and under control or is it actually possible to love and trust our fellow human beings and live in harmony with all creation, to manifest a real Peace on Earth.

Towards a greener and peace-filled planet.

Dean Jefferys,
NSW, Australia.

Greenpeace, SANA and a score of other bodies in a wide alliance, has gone almost unreported.

The BBC news and commentary programmes have been especially otiose. They continue to wheel on compliant "defence experts" and politicians who — with the exception of Denis Healey — are usually, on this matter, half-briefed or half-witted.

Our Defence Secretary, George Younger, assured the listening public that the Russians are "quite a way ahead" on an SDI system. This is directly untrue: Mr Younger should read, among other things, John Pike on Star Wars. But no concerned scientist, or informed spokesman of the peace movement is permitted to answer.

I am not, Sir, complaining at the Guardian. Your own defence correspondent and your editorial comments have been of a higher order. But the wider conduct of public discussion has been deplorable.

Although our Government has signed a (secret) memorandum of understanding committing us to support SDI, there has been (I think) only one brief debate in the Commons (the Lords have done a little better).

Recently you reported that a book by Dr Richard Egnells — which throws light on the memorandum — has been inexplicably suppressed by his own publisher. It is difficult not to conclude that

there is an orchestrated hush-up. I conclude also that President Reagan went to Iceland determined to sign no agreements unless they offered a promise of gains in American "superiority." That is much what we should have expected from him and from Richard Perle.

The scandal is that the British Government which should — with its allies in Western Europe — be cutting itself loose from this obscenely expensive and dishonest diversionary ploy, is sunk up to its glass eyes in complicity. "Get these glass eyes, and like a scurvy politician seem to see the things that don't exist!"

E. P. Thompson,
European Nuclear
Disarmament,
11 Goodwin Street,
London N4.

Sooner or later the US and the USSR may have to ally to fight a common foe from outside the solar system. The SDI could be essential for that defence of earth; and for the prevention of nuclear war on earth, making nuclear weapons obsolete.

Future generations of the whole world will be grateful for Mr Reagan's courageous stand in Reykjavik. I am now.

James Arnold,
15 Endor Road,
Keighley, W. Yorkshire.

Wanted: a physicist to stake his reputation on SDI

The south central branch of the Institute of Physics will be holding a meeting in Brighton this February on the subject of Star Wars. Speaking against will be Dr Les Allen, a well-known laser physicist, and Dr Richard Egnells, the computer expert who resigned from Imperial College on the issue and whose book on the Space Defence Initiative has been so mysteriously withdrawn by the publishers.

The institute would like to find a scientist of comparable authority to defend the basic concept, but so far none has materialised. That is a measure of the scorn in which SDI is held by the great majority of the physics community on which its realisation depends, on both sides of the Atlantic.

Many independent detailed studies, some of the best having been in the US, have shown that for SDI to work, even in terms of today's missile systems, demands the simultaneous and perfect operation of a number of technologies which do not yet exist and which must each be enormously expensive to develop.

By the time it can be deployed, which even its proponents admit cannot be fewer than 20 years hence, offensive missile capability will have increased vastly both in quantity and sophistication. The Soviet Union can easily swamp any defensive system at present in prospect, but of course in ways which will accelerate the arms race; this is the fundamental reason why significant cuts in missile stocks are linked to the postponement of ABM space-based research and development.

It is also why SDI should be strenuously opposed by those — the great majority of mankind — who wish to end that ruinous competition.

SDI is more properly called Star Wars, a fantasy unconnected with the real world. For this vain delusion President Reagan has rejected the possibility of the most important disarmament proposal in history. I hope that as many people as possible will urge their

governments to persuade the American administration that it must remove this absurd and flimsy obstacle to a major step toward world peace.

(Prof) Keith Puttick,
1 Maple Road,
Billinghurst, W. Sussex.

Time to pull the plug on children's TV

Your leader "Junk violence for our children" (September 7), doesn't even come close to describing ("pernicious rubbish") the appalling effects of American children's television and the associated toy marketing.

However, it is a mistake to believe that the problems can be solved by focussing on a demand for "good" children's programming. All shows produced in the US today claim socially redeeming themes, such as not telling lies or only using force for protection. This red herring makes it impossible to condemn them for the lessons they would presume to teach, while our little ones absorb only the glossy violence and huck-

Whitehouse lobby speeds censorship

The fact that Mrs Whitehouse, president of the National Viewers and Listeners Association, has successfully lobbied advertisers to prevent them taking spots on Channel Four's Friday movie spots is extremely alarming, and demonstrates the folly of the station's exercises.

A similar campaign in the United States succeeded in forcing US networks to cancel shows like Lou Grant because of pressure from right-wing groups over content.

However, what is most disturbing about the red triangle warning symbol shown on screens throughout these films, is not merely the irritation it causes the viewers, but the image it presents to extremist moral groups of films which are being shown to bring in large groups of Sun readers to the channel.

Perhaps the absurdity of the campaign was best demonstrated with the showing last Friday of a harrowing and bleak portrayal of slum life in Brazil. Pixote is probably the best film to come from Brazil in many years and has featured extensively on recent documentaries about the country.

That it and artistic works by other fine directors should be demeaned in a rather blatant exercise to stir controversy — and to increase viewing figures — shows a rather pathetic lack of those ideals with which the fourth channel was launched four years ago. That silly triangle should be dropped. If, as Channel Four says, they are all films which would be shown anyway by the IBA, why insult our intelligence as viewers any more?

Conor Ryan,
77 Ashbourne Road,
Mitcham, Surrey.

Big Bang fizzles

By Peter Rodgers, Mary Brasler and Mark Milner

THE Stock Exchange's so-called Big Bang was more of a crunch as the computer systems collapsed under the load from dealers in the new markets on Monday.

In spite of claims by the chairman, Sir Nicholas Goodison, that the overall development of the systems was a triumph, there was widespread anger among dealers at what was seen as a Stock Exchange failure.

It came after weeks in which the exchange had lectured them on the deficiencies of their own computers in the build-up to the first day of trading in the restructured American-style markets. The exchange has spent £80 million in the past four years in preparing for the new market.

Sir Nicholas blamed an "unprecedented and abnormal load on the system because of first-day interest. If you put a new dodo or a monkey in the zoo, people will queue up to see it in the first five minutes," he said.

He called the breakdown a "small technological accident" and said there was no evidence that anything was wrong with the system. "It would much rather than the third day," he added. Stock Exchange computer systems had had an "extraordinary degree of reliability."

Mortgage rates up

BRITAIN'S biggest building society, the Halifax, announced a 1½ per cent increase in the mortgage rate from November 1. The society blamed the recent rise in market interest rates, and warned of possible further increases if bank base rates continued to rise. Other building societies followed the Halifax's lead, though some said the rise was not enough.

Liberal shift

LIBERAL MPs last week approved a defence policy designed to heal the party's breach over Britain's nuclear deterrent which Mr David Steel claimed as a unified strategy for the Liberal SDP Alliance.

The Liberal leader won approval from his MPs for a statement approved 24 hours by the party's policy committee which acknowledged that a British minimum nuclear deterrent would be maintained — with necessary modernisation — until it could be negotiated away as part of a worldwide arms negotiation.

It emphasises in a gesture to the party's strong contingent opposed to a maintained nuclear deterrent, the commitment to disarmament and reiterates extra Liberal commitment to Nato. Conventional forces should be strengthened after the cancellation of Trident; a minimum nuclear deterrent should be maintained if necessary, at no greater capacity than Polarisk; a higher priority should be given to disarmament; further talks should take place on European cooperation and initiatives to reduce international tension, including their defence in the courts.

Police find drugs cash laundry

By Andrew Rawnsley

A TASK force of British detectives working in America has uncovered a multi-million pound network of organised crime involving drug smuggling and money laundering in Britain and the US.

Eleven people, including US attorneys, have been indicted in Florida, and Scotland Yard said that arrests would follow in London.

The task force was set up to

The failure was caused by people calling up price information pages on their screens at the peak rate of 200 a second. The Topic system, which disseminates share prices to dealers, had been tested to that level but not for sustained periods.

The result at 8.29am was that the system crashed and the linked SEAQ computer (Stock Exchange Automated Quotations) had to be suspended at 8.47am, 13 minutes before the official market opening, because nobody in the City could see the prices it was recording. SEAQ did not come into sustained operation again for another 68 minutes.

The main thrust of Big Bang is to get share dealing away from the Stock Exchange floor on to the electronic pricing system, of which SEAQ is the heart. But as the first day began there was a huge anticlimax because there was no electronic market place in which to trade.

Most dealers kept calm in spite of sleepless nights and what one described as "first night nerves." They either slowed their business or went back to the old telephone system or to the floor of the exchange — where the technology is the primitive one of pencil and paper — with one muttering that "nothing could replace the old fashioned broker."

He had several conversations with Mr Norman Tebbit, the party chairman, and one, it is understood, with the Prime Minister. Each expressed deep personal sympathy, but there was no effort to persuade him to stay.

He drove from London to his home in Cambridgeshire to be with his family, promising that he would pick himself up, but clearly distraught at his fall and the embarrassment caused to the party as it prepares itself for a general election. "I have been silly, very foolish. What else can I say?" he said.

After 14 months as deputy chairman, he said he was very sad to

ing withdrawal from President Reagan's Star Wars programme.

BBC settles

OVERRULING their own board of managers, the governors of the BBC settled out of court libel actions brought by the Conservative MPs, Mr Neil Hamilton and Mr Gerald Howarth, who had been named in a television programme among extreme right-wingers said to have infiltrated the party. Each got £20,000 damages and costs, bringing total costs against the BBC to about £500,000. The board of managers had wanted to pursue their defence in the courts.

Investigate the £26 million Brink's Mat gold bullion robbery in November 1984. Led by the deputy assistant commissioner, Mr Brian Worth, the investigation took the detectives to the British Virgin Islands, Anguilla, the Isle of Man and across Europe, where they discovered a complex web of offshore tax haven companies.

Important information was received from an American citizen



Before and after — Mr Jeffrey Archer at the Tory Party conference and (right) leaving London for his country home on Sunday.

Archer resigns over pay-off scandal

By James Naughtie

MR JEFFREY ARCHER, novelist and politician, resigned as deputy chairman of the Conservative Party on Sunday in a bizarre scandal which embarrassed his Government and left his political career in ruins for the second time.

Mr Archer said he had fallen into a trap which led him foolishly to offer a pay-off to a prostitute whom he had never met. The News of the World, using tape recordings and photographs, published an account and by lunchtime Mr Archer was gone.

He had several conversations with Mr Norman Tebbit, the party chairman, and one, it is understood, with the Prime Minister. Each expressed deep personal sympathy, but there was no effort to persuade him to stay.

He drove from London to his home in Cambridgeshire to be with his family, promising that he would pick himself up, but clearly distraught at his fall and the embarrassment caused to the party as it prepares itself for a general election. "I have been silly, very foolish. What else can I say?" he said.

After 14 months as deputy chairman, he said he was very sad to

leave. "It has been very thrilling, as well as a privilege."

His resignation removes from the front-line of politics an extravagant character who built his own image: near bankrupt turned millionaire novelist, failed MP made good as a leading party campaigner and image-maker.

In a statement issued by his solicitor, Lord Mishcon, the Labour peer, Mr Archer said he had been guilty of an error of judgment in arranging for a friend to pay about £2,000 in £50 notes to the prostitute, Miss Monica Coghlan, in a rendezvous at Platform 3 of Victoria Station.

He denied vigorously that he had ever met Miss Coghlan and said he had fallen into a trap. For some weeks efforts have been made to sell to Fleet Street newspapers allegations made by Miss Coghlan, who Mr Archer said had telephoned him several times.

With the memory of the Parkinson affair still fresh, another resignation in embarrassing circumstances is distinctly unwelcome in Downing Street. Mrs Thatcher is fond of Mr Archer and has been seeing him regularly, and his departure is a blow.

'Foolishly I fell into a trap'

MR JEFFREY ARCHER announced his resignation in the following statement:

"I have never, repeat never, met Monica Coghlan, nor have I ever had any association of any kind with a prostitute."

"Some weeks ago I received a telephone call from a woman who gave the name Dobbie. She told me that she was a prostitute and that a 'client' of hers was letting it be known that we had met in Shepherd Market and had had an association."

"I told her that this was

absolutely false and that to my knowledge we had never met.

"I subsequently received further telephone calls from her to the effect that the press were pursuing her as a result of disclosures to them by her 'client' and that she did not know how to avoid the press."

"At this time her 'client' was insistent that we had, in fact, met. 'Foolishly, as I now realise, I allowed myself to fall into what I can only call a trap in which a newspaper, in my view, played a reprehensible part.'

"In the belief that this woman genuinely wanted to be out of the way of the press and realising that for my part any publicity of this kind would be extremely harmful to me and for which a libel action would be no adequate remedy, I offered to pay her money so that she could go abroad for a short period, and arranged for this money to be paid over to her."

"For the lack of judgment and that alone I have tendered my resignation to the Prime Minister as deputy chairman of the Conservative Party."

FOREIGN EXCHANGES

	Starting Rate October 27	Previous Closing Rate
Australia	2.1910-2.1950	2.2065-2.2080
Austria	20.20-20.24	20.20-20.24
Belgium	59.85-59.75	59.49-59.58
Canada	1.9515-1.9545	1.9598-1.9613
Denmark	10.81-10.83	10.77-10.81
France	9.35-9.41	9.36-9.37
Germany	2.87-2.88	2.881-2.886
Hong Kong	10.97-10.98	11.02-11.03
Ireland	1.0543-1.0553	1.0532-1.0542
Italy	1.435-1.437	1.437-1.438
Japan	225.85-228.35	227.47-227.92
Netherlands	3.233-3.237	3.232-3.236
Norway	10.47-10.48	10.48-10.50
Portugal	210.05-210.50	208.55-209.54
Spain	161.90-162.30	161.89-162.05
Sweden	8.81-8.83	8.82-8.83
Switzerland	2.383-2.386	2.382-2.386
USA	1.4385-1.4375	1.4325-1.4335
ECU	1.3765-1.3802	1.3764-1.3772

FT 30 Share Index 1287.8 Gold \$405.25

THE GUARDIAN WEEKLY
November 2, 1986 Vol. 135 No. 18

Copyright © 1986 by Guardian Publications Ltd., 110 Farringdon Road, London, England. All rights reserved. Letters to the Editor and other editorial correspondence to: The Guardian Weekly, PO Box 19, Chislehurst, Cheshire, SK9 1DD, England.
Subscription enquiries to the Circulation Manager, The Guardian Weekly, 164 Deansgate, Manchester, M3 2PL, England.
Advertisement enquiries to the Advertisement Manager, The Guardian Weekly, 110 Farringdon Road, London, England.

ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION RATES
United Kingdom £28.00, Else £31.50
AIR EDITION: Europe, Middle East, North Africa £30.00; Americas, Africa (except North), Asia, Malaysia, Indonesia £35.50; Australasia, Far East, Pacific £36.50.

Let us send a Gift Subscription to your friend — and a Gift Card with your best wishes.
To: Circulation Manager, The Guardian Weekly, 164 Deansgate, Manchester M3 2PL, England.
Please mail The Guardian Weekly for one year to:

Name

Address

Subscription ordered by

Address if not above

Enclose payment of £

Holders of Visa, Access, MasterCard, and American Express cards may have subscriptions charged to their accounts.
Please debit my Visa/Access/MasterCard/American Express ☐

Account No.

Cardholder's Signature

Card Expiry Date

Why did Mrs Thatcher fail to read the signs?

LADIES and gentlemen, this is your captain speaking. Kindly fasten your seatbelts and locate the brown paper bag tucked into your seat pocket. We are about to enter an area of moral turbulence such as occurs from time to time during Conservative governments.

I refer, of course, to the allegations published on Sunday in Rupert Murdoch's Sunday Pictograph, alias the News Of The World. Poor Mr Jeffrey Archer, himself no mean hand with the soft porn, is this year's victim.

If the NoW is to be believed — and it clearly bugged all but one of the important conversations in the affair — Mr Archer attempted through an intermediary to buy off a young prostitute who was touting allegations about him to the tabloids. Just what those allegations were, and whether they had any substance, was not entirely clear in the story — no doubt deliberately.

The whole episode (as the NoW pointed out more than once) bears a striking resemblance to Archer's own best-selling political novel, *First Among Equals*. The only difference of substance is that the fictional version had a happy ending.

This writer has to confess that he was taken completely by surprise by this sudden moral eruption. To be sure, there had been rumours of an impending sex scandal during the Tory party conference last month. But then there are almost always rumours of impending sex scandals at Tory party conferences. Only occasionally do they emerge on to the front pages.

Indeed, there has not been a scandal worth the name since the 1983 conference, when (you will remember) the man credited with

winning the preceding General Election for Mrs Thatcher found himself the victim of another of Mr Murdoch's newspapers — on that occasion, the Times. We need not rake over the details of that affair, save to say that the lady in the Parkinson plot was several cuts above the one in Mr Archer's dismal little story. But she brought Mr Parkinson down just the same.

There was considerable argument at the time because those who saw Mr Parkinson's conduct towards Miss Keays as quite bad enough to justify his departure and

"So tarnished was his image at that stage in his career that even Harold Wilson, not a man to be unnecessarily fastidious in such matters, once refused to attend one of Archer's fund-raising events on the grounds that the organiser was keeping too much for himself."

those who argued that it was a mere peccadillo. No doubt much the same argument will now take place around the different facts of the Archer case. After all, say the wise guys, wouldn't we all...?

Well, no, actually, we wouldn't. But that is just in passing. In political terms there are two further issues which arise from the Parkinson and Archer scandals, one more serious than the other.

To paint the less serious one first, the question that leaps immediately to mind in the wake of Mr Archer's resignation is, what on earth are the criteria which Mrs Thatcher employs in choosing the kind of man she wishes to have close to her? For Mr Archer (as he proudly boasted) was certainly close to the Prime Minister, and Mr Parkinson was closer still.

Now, handsome, self-made Mr Parkinson was and is a likeable, fairly intelligent, optimistic and above all plausible fellow with perhaps only a little more than his

fair share of clay around the feet. He won Mrs Thatcher's admiration and gratitude for his performance as the Government's main TV spokesman during the Falklands War.

So she could have been forgiven for not noticing the clay feet when she put him in charge of winning the 1983 election. Her judgment seemed justified when Mr Parkinson delivered a landslide victory instead of a mere win. Whatever else she may be, Mrs Thatcher has never been a woman to argue with success.

But Mr Archer? Well, he was

All this must have been known to Mrs Thatcher, who has been in politics rather longer than Mr Archer. And even if she had forgotten it, there were plenty of people who should have reminded her when she appointed Mr Archer as deputy chairman of the Conservative Party, and again when she recruited him as one of the intimates who gave her regular private briefings.

Those tête-à-tête briefings took place at least once a fortnight — a sight more often than most Cabinet ministers are allowed to see their boss in private. They appear

Paying off a prostitute to keep her mouth shut, even if it is done as a result of a Murdoch agent provocateur and even if Mr Archer maintains he never had sexual congress with her, can scarcely be portrayed as in tune with those values, even if they have something in common with Victorian values. Thanks to Mr Archer, one substantial plank in Mrs Thatcher's election platform has thus got dry rot already.

As for Mr Archer himself, it is probably back to the drawing board for him, or rather, back to the word processor for the second time in his career. Nor can he console himself with the thought that the past few days have provided valuable material for the next smash-hit novel (no doubt to be called *A Matter of Dishonour*). After all, he's written most of that sort of thing already.

And thereby hangs the final puzzle about the whole sordid business. For if Mrs Thatcher had ever read Mr Archer's books it is hard to see how a woman so devoted to family values could have let him in the house. It was all there, like an open book.

That would be bad enough in itself, and would certainly be sufficient to account for the speed

the Tory ladies autographs, and demonstrated again that he is a character whose outstanding feature is his energy. In the next nine months he was due to visit 160 seats, imparting vigour.

It is true that, at Central Office, he is irreplaceable. For a start, no one else has a flat, festooned with a collection of modern masters, which looks across Westminster and the City in a way which gives the visitor the sense of being on the bridge of a great ship heading down the Thames.

"No one else has a flat, festooned with a collection of modern masters, which looks across Westminster and the City in a way which gives the visitor the sense of being on the bridge of a great ship heading down the Thames."

the bridge of a great ship heading down the Thames. No one else runs a political salon in which film producers, cricketers and politicians mingle as they do in the Archer menage.

This week he said his 14 months had been "very thrilling". It was that air of adventure in politics which took him into the trade in the first place, and which has lost him his job.

It is an air, however, which will continue to intoxicate him. Anyone who has seen the enthusiasm with which he will plunge into a political campaign (or a book-signing session) knows that the glitter of the "personal appearance" is not going to lose its lure for him. But, until the next election, he will have to find his excitement outside party politics.

It is an axle which won't affect his mania for political gossip and prediction, but what some of his friends wonder is whether it will change his innocent approach to

the whole business. The Archer mystery has always been: is he really as naive as he sometimes appears?

After arranging a pay-off in used £50 notes through a PR man at Platform 3 of Victoria Station, he may not look to many people like a naive character, but he has a way of behaving like one of his fictional figures. You are left wondering how anyone so close to the heart of political life can behave like that.

Gatsby managed to fix the World Series. Archer has done nothing so spectacular, but he has cut for himself an entirely original public persona. There is no one else quite like him, even in his books.

Appropriately, he is going in a traditional scandal, confessing that he has been foolish, and the old scene is played out — the dash from the front door, the car chase, the time for reflection with the family. But even among political enemies who will rub their hands, there will be a few thoughts about the events which led him to make his mistakes, and some reflection about the hypocrisies inherent in public life. Not everyone will be setting up shop as moral guardian, though the usual Tory backbenchers were jostling in the queue all day Sunday.

Archer has a style which will not allow him to disappear. He has made a career out of survival in unlikely circumstances and will be able to do so, somehow, again. It is only a matter of time before he works out the plot.

Britain breaks with Syria over El Al bomb plot



Nezar Hindawi

BRITAIN broke off diplomatic relations with Syria last week after accusing the Damascus Government of complicity in the attempt by a Jordanian, Nezar Hindawi, to blow up an El Al jumbo jet by duping his pregnant Irish girlfriend into carrying a bomb on board at Heathrow Airport in London. Hindawi, aged 32, had told her he would follow on a later plane and would marry her in Jordan.

The bomb, timed to go off as the plane was at 39,000 feet over Austria, was discovered in the false bottom of a suitcase by an El Al security man. Sentencing Hindawi to 45 years in jail, the longest sentence in British legal history, Judge Marshall-Jones said: "This was a well-planned, well-organised crime which involved many others than yourself, some of them in high places. If your attempt had succeeded and that bomb had gone off, some 380 innocent civilians, men, women and children, would have perished, including the woman you professed to love who was carrying your child. A more callous and cruel deception and a more horrendous massacre is difficult to imagine."

He said that Hindawi could expect no mercy. "We will not tolerate the activities of terrorists of other countries operating here

or in other countries. They will be tracked down and brought to justice."

In the Commons, Sir Geoffrey Howe said there was clear evidence of Syrian involvement with the convicted man. Certain facts were undisputed, said the Foreign Secretary. Hindawi travelled on an official Syrian passport in a false name; his visa applications had twice been backed by official notes from the Syrian Foreign Ministry; and Hindawi had met Dr Loutaf Haydar, Syria's ambassador to

London, in his embassy after the discovery of the Heathrow bomb.

"We have independent evidence that the Syrian Ambassador was personally involved several months before the commission of the offence in securing for Hindawi the sponsorship of the Syrian intelligence authorities, and equally compelling evidence that during his detention Hindawi sought to contact secretly Syrian intelligence officials in Damascus with a request for their assistance in securing his release."

"The whole House will be outraged by the Syrian role in this case. It is unacceptable that the ambassador, members of his staff, and the Syrian authorities in Damascus should be involved with a criminal like Hindawi."

Though the break in diplomatic relations was announced by Sir Geoffrey, the driving force behind the decision came from the Prime Minister herself. Mrs Thatcher is understood to have reacted with outrage to the El Al bomb affair, and to have been determined to ensure that Syria bore the consequences of its complicity.

The Cabinet apparently did not discuss the matter and was not invited to endorse the move. The decision appears to have been made by a small group of senior Cabinet ministers chaired by Mrs

Thatcher. The Foreign Office is thought to have been profoundly sceptical, and to have argued against such drastic action.

None of the ministers involved in the decision is under any illusion about the consequences, which will further diminish Britain's role in the Middle East peace process. The United States and Canada backed the British move to the extent of withdrawing their ambassadors from Damascus, but France and Germany are thought to be seeking to pick up as much business as possible, though the French Government denied reports that it was on the brink of a \$300 million arms deal with Syria.

In response to the British move Syria not only broke off relations but closed its airspace and ports to British aircraft and ships. The immediate practical consequences seem likely to entail a reorganisation of almost all airline schedules across the Middle East.

A number of long-distance, non-stop scheduled services to the Far East will probably cease to be viable. Ministers appear to hope that the Egyptians will be co-operative in supplying alternative routes.

Dr Haydar said after visiting the Foreign Office that the case was a plot against Syria and himself by American and Israeli intelligence. Speaking on the steps of his embassy in Belgrave Square, he said: "I would say it has been well-planned and well-orchestrated but not very well staged. All

Britain had to do was dance to the tune."

The Syrian government statement accused Britain of conspiring with Israel against the Arabs in general and Syria in particular. Syria was not surprised by the British move. "No Arab citizen can forget the black history of British colonialism which the present British Government is trying to revive. No Arab citizen can forget the role played by Britain in implanting the Zionist entity in Palestine."

"In coordination with Israel, Britain has accused Syria of trying to blow up the Israeli plane, although the Syrian Government has made clear that it had nothing to do with the attempt and has repeatedly rejected any accusation and has condemned similar acts."

Support for Syria was expressed at the weekend by Mr Chudli Klobi, Secretary-General of the Arab League. Libya announced it was closing its airspace to British planes and calling on all Arab states to sever relations with London. Algeria said that it was in complete solidarity with Damascus.

The British decision was welcomed by Israel. The Prime Minister, Mr Yitzhak Shamir, presiding over his first Cabinet meeting since he took over from Mr Shimon Peres, expressed his appreciation. Mr Shamir said that the international struggle against countries backing terror was the path of all civilised humanity.

US wants to extend Holy Loch base

THE US Navy is believed to have approached the British Government about extending its Poseidon nuclear submarine base at Holy Loch in Scotland to the operation of hunter-killer submarines that could carry nuclear-armed cruise missiles.

This, according to Nato sources, explains the unexpected vehemence with which recent reports of an American pullout have been denied.

The basis of these reports has been the assumption that American Poseidon ballistic missiles are being replaced by longer-range Trident I missiles and the submarines themselves retired to make way for Ohio class boats designed for the still longer range Trident II missiles. As a result, it was assumed, there would be no longer be any operational convenience in operating out of Holy Loch. The bigger submarines can simply patrol from their home base on the eastern seaboard of the United States.

The logic of this, suggesting that the Scottish base will become

redundant in the mid-1990s, is not denied either by the Pentagon or the Ministry of Defence in London. A ministry official said: "Poseidon submarines currently use the Holy Loch and will continue to do so until they are phased out. Any-

By David Fairhall

thing beyond that will be a matter of agreement."

Both the Defence Secretary, Mr George Younger, and his US counterpart, Mr Caspar Weinberger, sharply denied that the Americans' departure is only a matter of time when they were questioned last week during the Nato nuclear planning meeting at Gleneagles. Asked whether British press reports of an imminent pullout were correct, Mr Weinberger said: "Absolutely not, there's no truth in that — assuming we're still wanted, of course."

His last remark was presumably a diplomatic reference to the fact that the American submarines are only here by courtesy of a specific

governmental agreement which extends, according to the US Navy, "into the 1990s". Any adjustment to that agreement, a spokesman said, would require close consultation between the two governments. That consultation seems to have been initiated from the American side, through naval contacts, only to be rebuffed by an embarrassed British Government, which does not want the prospect of an additional US nuclear-capable weapons system being based in this country in the run-up to an election.

Mrs Thatcher and Mr Younger would far rather concentrate voters' minds on the possibility of an East-West arms control agreement that could remove one of the present systems — the ground launched Tomahawk nuclear cruise missiles at Greenham Common.

The Tomahawk cruise missile was designed from the first to be launched also by submarines, either through standard 21-inch torpedo tubes or from purpose-built launchers. And in its long-range land-attack, as opposed to anti-ship, form, the missile has been provided with an optional nuclear warhead like that carried by the ground-launched variant at Greenham.

The US Navy's Los Angeles class hunter-killer boats are progressively being adapted to carry the weapons, first as part of their torpedo loads and later in vertical packs installed in the bow between the inner and outer hulls.

Assuming this is what the US Navy wants to operate from Holy Loch, rather than a uniquely nuclear system like Trident — that does not need to be on this side of the Atlantic anyway — the British Government faces a peculiar embarrassment.

It stems from the American policy, also adopted by our own Defence Ministry, never to admit that a particular submarine will be carrying the nuclear-tipped version of Tomahawk.

In the famous phrase that upset the New Zealand Government and eventually led to its being ostracised by the Western nuclear club, the US Navy will simply refuse "either to confirm or deny" the presence of nuclear weapons.

Novel practitioner sunk by an old-fashioned scandal

IF Jeffrey Archer's brief career as deputy chairman of the Conservative Party had ended with a whimper, it would have looked like a scriptwriter's mistake and an injustice at the same time. He has always been less interested in power than in drama.

Though his favourite after-lunch joke: "When I was three I wanted to be four; when I was four I wanted to be Prime Minister," pokes fun at his raging ambition, his public personality is that of the political and social entrepreneur and fixer — broker rather than principal.

His power with the powerful has come in the last couple of years because of his money and his fame, which finally gave his infectious enthusiasm and good humour its outlet in politics, and produced for Britain a sort of figure more common in the United States — the man who goes from

showbusiness into politics rather than the other way round.

His earlier foray in the Commons, which ended with the threat of bankruptcy in 1974, is now the most distant of memories. The Archer style is that of the best-selling storyteller and bankrupt-turned-millionaire, not that of the diligent ex-MP come to his reward at last. Style, however, has not been enough.

Even before he had arrived at his first party conference as deputy chairman he had sent a frisson through the party hierarchy, reminding the unemployed that he had found success after having been stuck with debts of nearly £500,000 and that they should do the same. It was an unpleasant lesson in the ways of public life, though not as unpleasant as Sunday's.

In between the two, he rattled round the constituencies, giving

Tory comeback in poll

BEFORE the Jeffrey Archer scandal broke, the Conservatives had drawn level with Labour at the expense of the Liberal-SDP Alliance, according to the latest monthly Gallup poll. The poll put the Tories and Labour at 37.5 per cent and the Alliance at 22 per cent. This represents a five per cent increase for the Tories over last month's figure, a drop of 0.5 per cent for Labour and a drop of 6.5 per cent for the Alliance.

The Alliance has sunk to its lowest level in popular support since the 1983 general election following its internal row over

defence, says a Marplan survey. It also suggests that voters are hostile to Labour's non-nuclear strategy — including more than a third of those who describe themselves as Labour supporters. The state of the parties is Labour 41 per cent, Conservative 40 per cent, and the Alliance 17 per cent, according to Marplan.

Asked if Britain should give up its nuclear weapons whatever other countries do, 59 per cent said no, 31 per cent agreed, and the rest did not know. Among those who described themselves as Labour voters, 51 per cent said yes and 38 per cent no.

One of the criticisms of his book *First Among Equals*, and a justified one, is that it is peopled by politicians who don't speak like politicians. It is fair to ask whether he has become like his characters or whether they are all created in his image. But either way, the result is the same. Jeffrey Archer often speaks like a one-dimensional character who has sprung into the world from an airport bookstall.

It is the Archer of Spitting Image, speaking in sentences which seem to be nothing more than adjectives propped up by the odd flashy imperative. You see before you a word-processor come to life, spraying its concoctions across the page. Sometimes, people who meet him for the first time can hardly believe it; they wonder if they have been the victims of a practical joke.

They haven't, but they also make a mistake if they don't recognise in Archer an original character. He has an eclectic taste

in politicians, artists, and anyone also interesting. That taste has been made possible by his fortune, but still needs an engaging fellow to exercise it.

Such was the social whirl in his penthouse, where in one memorable week last December he entertained practically the whole Government and what seemed like half the population of the West End in three sumptuous parties, that it was inevitable that some would attach to him a Gatsby-like quality, which would dictate that the glitter would disappear with the final fall.

Gatsby managed to fix the World Series. Archer has done nothing so spectacular, but he has cut for himself an entirely original public persona. There is no one else quite like him, even in his books.

A 30 per cent plunge in the birth rate since 1980 is about to result in absolute population decline in the EEC, the European Parliament heard last week.

A report on family policy predicted that the decline will be a serious evidence by 1995, and that the total community population — currently some 320 million, will fall by 25 million by the year 2050.

The Parliamentary report underlines recent warnings from demographic experts in the 21-nation Council of Europe that welfare benefits, pensions and other public expenditure cannot be sustained if the working population shrinks still further.

Of the 12 Community countries, only Ireland has a fertility rate high enough to keep the population growing — and even there the average number of children per woman has fallen steeply.

Fears on European birth rate

By Derek Brown in Strasbourg

In West Germany the figure is down to 1.83, in Denmark it is 1.4, and in Italy it is 1.61. Britain's fertility rate has drifted steadily from 2.44 in 1970 to 1.77 last year.

The report, by French Gaullist member Mrs Nicole Chouraqui, says that the decline of the working population will place a heavy burden on the Community economies. "On the basis of the least optimistic forecast of fertility, retirement age would have to be raised from 60 to 65, or even 68.6, in most European countries," it says.

"Another undeniable consequence, the increase in the proportion of elderly people, gives rise to a series of new needs in the areas of health, housing, and training... furthermore, again in the long term, there will start to be a shortage of young workers, who constitute the section of the labour market most able to move around, adapt and be trained in the new technologies."

The level for sustaining a population is 2.1 children per woman.

HOME THOUGHTS?

Let our two GFA companies help you get the best return from the home you buy or the one you own.

No home in the UK?
We can help GFA find the home you want, the money to buy it and a tenant to rent it. Buying a house to let — using a mortgage lender's money — is the best investment you can make.

Or own one already?
Then why not ask us for a remortgage quotation? This can be to raise extra capital for investment and, most probably, save on your present mortgage costs. If the home is in Surrey, try GFA Property Services for an estimate of rent income — and lower property management fees.

Graham Fuller Associates Ltd/GFA Property Services Ltd
NEW ADDRESS
1 Phoenix Court, Guildford, Surrey GU1 3EY, England

Finance
Graham Fuller Associates Ltd provides a specialised mortgage service to assist expatriate house purchase.

- Mortgages up to 95%
- No surcharges for overseas employment
- Permission to let
- No penalties for early redemption

On our NEW expatriate mortgage schemes terms are flexible and rates are lower than those of most major building societies. Other financial services include pension transfer from previous UK employment, UK tax advice, and offshore investment.

Houses
GFA Property Services Ltd provides complete house purchase and property management services.
 ■ Property Selection
 ■ Advise on mortgage costs
 ■ Fulltime house services
 ■ Savings on purchase costs
 ■ Inspections and reports
 ■ Tenant finding and letting

FREE BOOKLETS! Return the coupon or telex for details TODAY.

GFA
1 Phoenix Court, Guildford, Surrey GU1 3EY, England
Telex 948240 (Ref 1900123), Telephone (0438) 65787

Please send me immediate details of:
☐ House investment and Property Services
☐ Remortgage Loans
☐ Pension Fund Transfers
☐ Mortgages
☐ Other

Name _____
Address _____

Determined Thatcher calls Assad to account

THE British Government's decision to break off diplomatic relations with Syria has not been taken lightly, and there is deep foreboding about the political repercussions and terrorist revenge that it may provoke.

Mrs Thatcher and the Cabinet had been agonising over the question of what to do with Syria from the moment the investigations into the attempt to blow up the El Al jumbo jet at Heathrow began. But the Syrian connection was so patent that the Government knew it had no alternative but to act against President Assad.

The Hindawi trial reinforced earlier suspicion of Syrian involvement in a series of recent terrorist outrages, including the Berlin bombings that triggered off US raids against Libya, and the fatal explosions at Vienna and Rome airports.

Britain is asking all its allies to support its moves against Syria. The decision poses a serious dilemma for Israel, West Germany, and the United States, and possibly also Italy and Austria.

In the past, the evidence against Syria has always been sufficiently circumstantial to avoid a direct clash with President Assad. Assad has always been regarded as one of

the essential figures in the Arab world if a peace settlement is forged in the Middle East. The British subject are considered vulnerable to terrorist reprisals. Britain undoubtedly fears Syrian reactions to the Hindawi trial verdict. Throughout the trial, the defence warned against the

Almost immediately after the attempted bombing, Britain expelled three Syrian diplomats in London after Damascus refused to waive diplomatic immunity and allow them to "help Scotland Yard with their enquiries" about the outrage. In return, three British diplomats were told to leave Syria, leaving the British Embassy there with only 20 UK-based staff.

As the trial developed, the Government had to set out its options. It could have limited itself to expelling the Syrian Ambassador; and it certainly looked at the possibility of banning Syrian Arab Airlines from Britain, without actually breaking diplomatic relations.

But Mrs Thatcher's commitment to fighting terrorism is so deep that she was bound to settle for the most drastic action, short of a declaration of war. She believes that this is a clear case of state-

directed terrorism, and in spite of all the risks to British interests, she has chosen to break with Syria. The trial is expected to direct links with Syrian intelligence officers before the attempt to blow up the El Al jet, as well as the help of the Syrian Ambassador in London in finding Hindawi a safe house afterwards.

Almost immediately after the attempted bombing, Britain expelled three Syrian diplomats in London after Damascus refused to waive diplomatic immunity and allow them to "help Scotland Yard with their enquiries" about the outrage. In return, three British diplomats were told to leave Syria, leaving the British Embassy there with only 20 UK-based staff.

As the trial developed, the Government had to set out its options. It could have limited itself to expelling the Syrian Ambassador; and it certainly looked at the possibility of banning Syrian Arab Airlines from Britain, without actually breaking diplomatic relations.

But Mrs Thatcher's commitment to fighting terrorism is so deep that she was bound to settle for the most drastic action, short of a declaration of war. She believes that this is a clear case of state-

directed terrorism, and in spite of all the risks to British interests, she has chosen to break with Syria. The trial is expected to direct links with Syrian intelligence officers before the attempt to blow up the El Al jet, as well as the help of the Syrian Ambassador in London in finding Hindawi a safe house afterwards.

Almost immediately after the attempted bombing, Britain expelled three Syrian diplomats in London after Damascus refused to waive diplomatic immunity and allow them to "help Scotland Yard with their enquiries" about the outrage. In return, three British diplomats were told to leave Syria, leaving the British Embassy there with only 20 UK-based staff.

By Hella Pick

wider risks of finding the Jordanian guilty, and claimed that the whole affair was an Israeli plot to discredit Syria.

This argument has failed to gain the slightest credibility. But President Assad's personal intervention has been taken as a further warning, not only to London but to Israel and Washington, that very high stakes are involved.

Israel has recognised the dilemma from the moment it became apparent that there had been high-level Syrian involvement. Used to swift retaliation against its enemies, Israel has held off so far in this case.

Israel has been quick to support the American bombing raids on Libya earlier this year on the basis

of much flimsier evidence than the case against Syria. The trial is expected to direct links with Syrian intelligence officers before the attempt to blow up the El Al jet, as well as the help of the Syrian Ambassador in London in finding Hindawi a safe house afterwards.

Almost immediately after the attempted bombing, Britain expelled three Syrian diplomats in London after Damascus refused to waive diplomatic immunity and allow them to "help Scotland Yard with their enquiries" about the outrage. In return, three British diplomats were told to leave Syria, leaving the British Embassy there with only 20 UK-based staff.

As the trial developed, the Government had to set out its options. It could have limited itself to expelling the Syrian Ambassador; and it certainly looked at the possibility of banning Syrian Arab Airlines from Britain, without actually breaking diplomatic relations.

But Mrs Thatcher's commitment to fighting terrorism is so deep that she was bound to settle for the most drastic action, short of a declaration of war. She believes that this is a clear case of state-

directed terrorism, and in spite of all the risks to British interests, she has chosen to break with Syria. The trial is expected to direct links with Syrian intelligence officers before the attempt to blow up the El Al jet, as well as the help of the Syrian Ambassador in London in finding Hindawi a safe house afterwards.

Almost immediately after the attempted bombing, Britain expelled three Syrian diplomats in London after Damascus refused to waive diplomatic immunity and allow them to "help Scotland Yard with their enquiries" about the outrage. In return, three British diplomats were told to leave Syria, leaving the British Embassy there with only 20 UK-based staff.

David Hirst on the Syrian involvement



President Assad — drawing by Salakmann

Valley, Assad clearly has no quarrel with what has always been Abu Nidal's first objective: the "execution" of Arabist traitors, pioneering a peace-seeking diplomacy liable to leave Syria out in the cold.

If Syria really was behind the Hindawi affair, this can only mean that Assad has crossed a new

threshold, in the use of terror, whose ominous significance is hardly exaggerated. Hindawi's crime was to be caught. Small wonder that Assad, far from hospitable to western journalists these days, has furnished Time Magazine with one of his rare interviews and devoted much of it to a rebuttal of the devastating charges levelled against his regime. Blowing up an Israeli jumbo jet, he knows, is a threat to Israel's very survival, an act of war, that reduces Colonel Gaddafi to a mere timid dabbler.

Revenge aside, Assad's motives could only be assumed to be the same, albeit on the grand scale, as they always were. "He is preparing for war with Israel," said the former Ba'athist, "I am sure of that. Recovering the Golan is an obsession. But he is not yet ready and may never be."

But the Assad that would do such a thing is not the Assad the world has known so far, ruthless and sometimes bold but always judiciously so: it would be a new, reckless and dangerously unpredictable one. Perhaps, the Syrian president, behind that always mild and amiable exterior, is more desperate than anyone realised.

Truce called in diplomatic tit-for-tat

By Michael White in Washington

AFTER the United States expelled a further 55 Soviet diplomats last week and the Soviet Union retaliated by expelling five American diplomats, the two sides now seem to have decided to put the issue behind them and get on with the serious business of talking about arms control.

There was speculation that the administration was watering down its provisional agreements in Iceland to abolish medium-range Euro-missiles and, if possible, strategic ballistic missiles. This was apparently in response to fears

expressed by its Nato allies and its own generals.

It was confirmed that no revised instructions had yet been sent to negotiators in Geneva. But officials and the Defence Secretary, Mr Caspar Weinberger, insist that "the President has not backed off his proposals" — whatever they actually were.

Unlike Mr Gorbachev, who regards the expulsions as "wild to normal people," Washington has insisted all along that spies and missiles are unconnected issues.

Moscow sets out Star Wars limits

SOVIET diplomats have now clearly defined exactly what they mean by "permissible testing" of Star Wars technology, and appear to have settled on a definition rather wider than the one President Reagan rejected at the Reykjavik summit.

The new Soviet definition, according to sources within the Foreign Ministry, speaking on condition of anonymity, contains three key components.

1. The Americans must not physically test weapons in outer space. This would rule out testing in space of the X-ray laser, which is fuelled by a nuclear explosion. It need not, however, exclude some testing of this weapon on earth. It also rules out the other Star Wars scheme of installing mirrors in space which would be used to reflect laser beams on to incoming rockets.

2. The Americans must not actually deploy any new weapon, ex-

cept under the terms of the existing ABM treaty of 1972. This would permit the US to build a single site, possibly around the missile bases in North Dakota, and deploy anti-missile systems there.

3. The Americans must not go into industrial production of Star Wars technology. Prototypes may be built and tested and modified, but full-scale production runs

By Martin Walker in Moscow

would be forbidden.

Although this would keep Star Wars under firm restraint, it would neither throttle the technology at birth nor prevent it from development up to the very threshold of a deployable weapons system.

At Reykjavik negotiations broke down on the single word "laboratory," Soviet sources claim. Mr

Gorbachev goes on the box

THREE times in the last fortnight Mikhail Gorbachev has pre-empted an hour of prime-time television to talk about the Reykjavik summit. There was his press conference from Iceland, his subsequent report to the Soviet people, and then, last week, his return to the cameras for reasons which remain unclear.

He was angered by the American decision to expel another 55 of his diplomats and by what he saw as Washington's deliberate misinterpretation of the discussions at Reykjavik. He was also frustrated in trying to understand just what was American policy, who took the decisions and who had the power to make them stick.

His agitation made it by far the least convincing of his TV appearances. He kept glancing nervously off-screen. There were pauses, some misreadings of his text and overall a less than competent performance. Soviet and Western viewers alike are now accustomed to a Soviet leader who explains at length and in detail what the superpowers have discussed. We are no longer surprised by a Kremlin chief who can use the media with the ease of a Western politician.

And now that Mr Gorbachev is being judged by stricter standards, it is plain that he has a lot to learn. American and European figures have learned the advantage of the quick one-liners at which Reagan is so skilled, and which slot neatly into the TV news shows.

Given that Mr Gorbachev is now speaking to a world audience and not just to his own people, his

performances appear leaden and didactic. He is in danger of losing that priceless asset, the image of a Soviet leader who understands the West and its ways, and who can come across to Western public opinion as a reasonable and recognisable fellow human being.

Gorbachev is a words man. He believes that if the world listens to his speeches, reads and considers what he says, it will be as convinced of his good sense and good will as are his own people.

By Martin Walker

This explains his own personal fury at the way US customs have apparently held up container loads of his own post-Reykjavik speeches, translated into English, that were to be distributed in the US.

It was this which led him to attack America as "an increasingly closed society, barring its people from objective information." He said that in Reykjavik, he had complained to Reagan that for every 20 American books translated and published in Russia, only one Russian book got published in the West. On films too, he claimed, the traffic was always one way. He even offered to stop jamming the Voice of America if President Reagan would give Radio Moscow equal access to American airwaves through a transmitter on American soil.

Mr Gorbachev's understanding of the media is far in advance of anything the Kremlin has experienced before, but it remains naive by Western standards, where his

South Africans expel Red Cross

By Patrick Laurence in Johannesburg

THE South African Government has ordered the International Red Cross to leave "as soon as possible."

The move was in response to a decision by delegates at a Red Cross conference in Geneva to exclude South African government representatives. Representatives of the South African Red Cross Society were not barred, however.

The Foreign Minister, Mr P. W. Botha, called the Geneva vote illegal. South Africa, as a signatory to the 1949 Geneva Convention, had a right to participate in International Red Cross conferences, Mr Botha said. Pretoria's decision to order the 15 International Red Cross Committee representatives to leave would stand until South African government representatives were again able to participate in Red Cross conferences.

Without approving the Geneva decision — which was carried with Third World and Soviet support, in the face of strong criticism from Western delegates — Professor John Dugard, a South African expert on international law, criticised Mr Botha's move as "bloodminded".

Noting that the president of the International Red Cross Committee

Mr Alexandra Hay, had described the suspension of South Africa as contrary to the Geneva statutes, Professor Dugard, the director of the Centre for Applied Legal Studies, said: "Mr Botha is cutting his nose off to spite his face."

South Africa benefitted in two ways from its association with the International Red Cross Committee, Professor Dugard said. Committee members visited political prisoners, as distinct from detainees, and their reports enabled South Africa to justifiably claim that its convicted prisoners were treated in accordance with international standards.

Further, International Red Cross representatives acted as intermediaries between South Africa and its adversaries in delicate situations. Professor Dugard mentioned negotiations over Captain Wynand du Toit, the South African commando captured in Angola in May last year.

Professor John Barratt, the director-general of the South African Institute of International Affairs, said: "It was over-hasty of P. W. Botha. It was not the International Red Cross Committee which kicked South Africa out."



"You are forgetting, Nikolai, that my husband is a diplomat in America — he could be home any minute."

The Middle East's state of terror

THE case against President Assad's Syria is, on the face of it, damning and overwhelming. "If it really was Syria," said a former Ba'athist, "then it must have been Assad himself. In crucial security matters he looks into every detail. That is his method. Vengeance would be his motive. He never forgets an insult. It could be as simple as that."

Others, with insights into this murky underworld, still cannot believe it. Some of the props of the Syrian "connection," such as Hindawi's special passport, seem crass almost beyond belief. The "frame-up," they believe, is not, in the ritual Syrian formula, the work of "the CIA and Mossad," rather of an Arab enemy, such as PLO Chairman Yasser Arafat, or even his current Arab "friend," Colonel Gaddafi, who is anxious to perpetuate "revolutionary violence."

Till now, no Syrian national, born and bred, has been caught in the act of international terrorism bearing a possible Damascus signature. Why use Syrians if Shi'ite fundamentalists from Lebanon are ready to martyr themselves against American embassies and marines, and there are Christian

extremists to plant bombs in Paris cafes, and, above all, Palestinians to submit their fanaticism to others' altogether more pragmatic purposes? It is elementary caution. The diplomacy of terror requires the recipients of these deadly messages to suspect who sent them — but never to be able to prove it.

There is, however, one member of the terrorist international who does have a public face, and that is Abu Nidal. Not, of course, his own which very rarely appears, but that of his organisation, the Fatah Revolutionary Council, which has offices, a spokesman, and an administrative set-up in Damascus. One thing that is now causing this organisation to grow is the Palestinian despair, deeper than ever, on which fanaticism is nurtured. The other is Syrian encouragement. It is in Abu Nidal that outward objectives of Syrian diplomacy, and the clandestine methods that reinforce it, merge, most clearly, in something approaching an abiding, strategic relationship.

Assad has always regarded his championship of the Palestinian cause, and his influence over the Palestinian resistance movement, as a key weapon in his diplomatic armoury. Having completely lost

his grip on Yasser Arafat's mainstay PLO, he has patronised disident, Damascus-based rivals who lend legitimacy to his anti-Arafat policies. Into their coalition known as the National Salvation Front he has been anxious to insert Abu Nidal, but has failed because leaders such as Habash and Abu Musa won't have him for personal, ideological or practical reasons.

The fiction is that Abu Nidal's Fatah Revolutionary Council is just another guerrilla organisation to which, as a patriot, Assad is bound to accord the same kind of freedom of political and propaganda activities as he once did to Arafat himself. Yet it is actually better off in some ways than other Damascus-based disidents. It is the only one entitled to publish and print its magazine in Syria: the others have to print in Nicosia.

Abu Nidal believes in the liberation of all Palestine, and that Arafat, by deviating from this original Fatah doctrine, "has condemned himself to death". While Syria has recognised Security Council Resolution 242, and always closed the Golan Heights to guerrilla operations as hermetically as King Hussein the Jordan



President Assad — drawing by Salakmann

Valley, Assad clearly has no quarrel with what has always been Abu Nidal's first objective: the "execution" of Arabist traitors, pioneering a peace-seeking diplomacy liable to leave Syria out in the cold.

If Syria really was behind the Hindawi affair, this can only mean that Assad has crossed a new

threshold, in the use of terror, whose ominous significance is hardly exaggerated. Hindawi's crime was to be caught. Small wonder that Assad, far from hospitable to western journalists these days, has furnished Time Magazine with one of his rare interviews and devoted much of it to a rebuttal of the devastating charges levelled against his regime. Blowing up an Israeli jumbo jet, he knows, is a threat to Israel's very survival, an act of war, that reduces Colonel Gaddafi to a mere timid dabbler.

Revenge aside, Assad's motives could only be assumed to be the same, albeit on the grand scale, as they always were. "He is preparing for war with Israel," said the former Ba'athist, "I am sure of that. Recovering the Golan is an obsession. But he is not yet ready and may never be."

But the Assad that would do such a thing is not the Assad the world has known so far, ruthless and sometimes bold but always judiciously so: it would be a new, reckless and dangerously unpredictable one. Perhaps, the Syrian president, behind that always mild and amiable exterior, is more desperate than anyone realised.

THE WEEK

THE exodus of giant US corporations from beleaguered South Africa has gathered pace with decisions by IBM and General Motors to sell out their respective operations.

The Honeywell computer group, Coca Cola, and industrial heavyweights Kodak and Xerox are also considering ending their South African connections. IBM chairman Mr John Akers said that the decision to sell the 34-year-old subsidiary — possibly by March 1, 1987 — was caused by the deteriorating political and economic situation in South Africa, and between the country and its trading partners.

IBM plans to sell to a consortium of staff and private investors. — with current general manager Mr Jack Clarke heading the new concern.

SOUTH AFRICA has reacted angrily to an internal report by a branch of the US Commerce Department which described the country as import-starved, a chrome debtor, and as "a repressive regime". The US charge d'affaires was summoned to the Foreign Ministry to receive an official protest about the report, and the Foreign Minister, Mr R. F. Botha, said that the Government took "the strongest exception" to its "insulting and hostile language". The report revealed that US exports to

South Africa totalled \$1.25 billion last year, compared with about \$2.25 billion in 1983. Exports were likely to fall below \$1 billion this year, it said.

TWO black prisoners, one awaiting execution on "death row", and the other an emergency regulation detainee, have hanged themselves in their cells, said South Africa's Department of Prisons — making a total of 80 deaths in police custody since 1983, the year in which detention without trial was introduced.

Yoloso Jacobs, 20, was found hanging from the prison bars by his shirt. He was one of 12,000 people who have been detained under the state of emergency.

Meanwhile, a white man, Anton Stoop, was sentenced to death in Johannesburg's Supreme Court for murdering a black man by setting fire to him in April. He is the second white man to be sentenced to death in the past month for killing a black.

Three more white men found guilty of raping and murdering a black nurse are on death row awaiting results of their appeals against sentence. Only six white people have been executed for murdering black people since 1970.

Nicaragua's Justice Minister has demanded the maximum penalty — 30

years' imprisonment — for Mr Eugene Hasenfus, the American captured when he was shot down by a Sandinista missile while on a supply run for CIA-backed contra guerrillas.

CHILEAN security forces have arrested five alleged left-wing guerrillas in connection with the failed assassination attempt on President Pinochet last month.

The five men, members of the Manuel Rodriguez Patriotic Front (FPMR) guerrilla group, had admitted taking part in the attack on September 7, a Government spokesman said.

THE Marshall Islands, a UN Trust Territory for 40 years, have been granted semi-independence after 39 years of American rule.

A "compact of free association" will allow the United States to keep its missile range at Kwajalein for at least 30 years, with an option for a further extension, the Minister without Portfolio, Mr Henohi Balao, said. Washington would give the islands \$30 million a year in aid for the next 15 years and keep control over defence.

THE Basque terrorist organisation ETA has vowed to continue its murder cam-

paign against the Spanish military — despite apologising for the killing of the wife and son of the provincial military governor of San Sebastian.

The two, together with General Rafael Garrido Gil, died when a bomb was placed on their car roof by three young men on a motorcycle who made their getaway in heavy traffic.

MR RAJIV GANDHI has appointed the fourth Foreign Minister of his two-year-old administration.

In the third reshuffle, Gandhi dropped five of his colleagues — including his ambitious cousin, Mr Arun Nehru — and appointed three new Cabinet ministers and four ministers of state.

The new Foreign Minister is Mr N. D. Tiwari, aged 61, who has served as Industry Minister and as Chief Minister of India's largest state, Uttar Pradesh.

MARSHAL YE JIANPING, a veteran of the Long March and a key powerbroker during Deng Xiaoping's rise to power after the death of Chairman Mao, has died aged 90.

He became defence minister after the death and disgrace of Lin Biao and was later de facto head of state, as chairman of the National People's Congress during the 1970s. He was seen as a symbol of military conservatism who had reserva-

tions about Deng Xiaoping's reforms since 1980.

THE Pope, in a unique ceremony at Assisi, burial place of St Francis, addressed a world-wide gathering devoted to peace, which included Muslims, Hindus, Jews and Buddhists as well as representatives of many branches of the Christian faith. In response to the Pope's plea guerrilla movements and governments in a dozen war zones observed a ceasefire during the day.

ETHIOPIA's Marxist government sustained a fresh international embarrassment when its Foreign Minister, Colonel Gashu Weldie, defected at the United Nations in New York, protesting against the regime's record of "misery and destruction". The Minister is the third Ethiopian Government member to defect this year.

SHERMAN ADAMS, 87, who was President Eisenhower's right-hand man in the 1950s and was known as the "Assistant President", died in the New Hampshire village where he was once a lumberjack. Adams's political downfall came when he had accepted hotel accommodation and gifts from a Boston businessman.

Portman International Account

An exclusive account for investors who are not ordinarily resident in the United Kingdom

10.50%*

Portman Building Society brings you the passport to higher interest and flexibility

only minimum investment
BRITISH PASSPORT

relates with NO PENALTY

Monthly income available for up to £5,000+

Portman Building Society

A major UK regional society with assets in excess of £400 million and an extensive network of branches and agencies throughout the South of England. Member of Building Societies Association and Building Society Members' Protection Scheme. Authorised for investment by Treasury. State new very. Details correct at time of going to press. Interest paid annually for monthly where appropriate.

Portman International Account

To: Portman Building Society, Administration Centre, Richmond Hill, Bournemouth BH2 6EP, United Kingdom. Please send me full details of the Portman International Account.

NAME: (Mr/Ms/Ms)

ADDRESS:

(Block capitals please)

Portman Building Society, Richmond Hill, Bournemouth BH2 6EP.

GW2

The Russians have got their Star Wars programme too

IN Reykjavik it was the Star Wars project that prevented the US and the USSR producing an historic arms agreement. But the Soviet Union cannot claim that the US is militarily out of space and that they tried in vain to stop them. The truth is that they too have been doing it almost since the birth of the space age.

In his book, *Military Strategy*, published in 1962, Marshal V. D. Sokolovskiy defined the aim of Soviet strategic forces. "They have the task of creating an invincible system of the defence of the entire country. While in the last war it was sufficient to destroy 15-20 per cent of the attacking air operation, now it is necessary to assure, essentially, 100 per cent destruction." It is a statement uncannily like those made by proponents of Star Wars.

down ballistic missiles and around Moscow there is the only operational Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) system in the world.

Built in 1968 it consists of a two-layered defence of Galosh and Gazelle missiles each with a nuclear warhead and controlled by a battle management system and a new large radar station at Pushkino. It will soon have the 100 AMB launchers permitted by the 1972 ABM treaty and could be fully operational next year. Western scientists are rather doubtful about its effectiveness: exploding nuclear warheads in the path of incoming ballistic missiles would have dire consequences for the population of Moscow. The US has a similar system to protect Minuteman missiles near Grand Forks Air Force Base in North Dakota, but abandoned it in 1975.

To detect incoming ballistic mis-

siles the USSR employs 11 so-called Hen House radars at six locations on the borders of USSR. These are currently being upgraded with the addition of another radar to improve tracking.

The 1972 ABM treaty was designed to prevent a buildup of a national ABM system. Under the ABM treaty, radars are to be used for early warning and not as part of an ABM defence. The US believes that the new radar system at Krasnoyarsk has substantial AMB capability and violates the treaty. It is not situated on the border or within 150 kilometres of Moscow nor is it oriented outwards. The Soviets claim it is for tracking objects in space, while the Americans state it is not suitable for that task and is part of the USSR's own Star Wars defence.

For more than a quarter of a century, the Soviet Union has been

increasing defences to blunt the effectiveness of any ballistic missile attack and going about it in a way similar to that envisaged by the US Star Wars programme.

As part of a Soviet Strategic Defence programme, scientists have been improving the operational ABM system around Moscow. They have developed an operational anti-satellite (ASAT) system, and are undertaking extensive research in the same areas as the US Strategic Defence Initiative.

In 1972, Greshko, then Minister of Defence, speaking to the Soviet Presidium said that the treaty "places no limitations whatsoever on the conducting of research and experimental work directed towards solving the problem of defending this country from nuclear missile strikes."

The USSR's own laser programme is much larger than the US effort, employing 10,000 scientists in half a dozen facilities across the Soviet Union. Much of the work is being carried out at the Sary Shagan Missile Test Centre near Lake Balkhash in central Siberia in a \$1 billion project. The Soviets claim the lasers are for tracking objects in space but they are far too powerful. To provide power for the laser project and other energy hungry programmes, they have built a device that has no equivalent in the West, a rocket driven generator that can produce 15 megawatts.

Another vital aspect of a laser battle system would be the use of mirrors in space to deflect the laser light towards targets. In 1978, a

effective ASAT capability directed at the vital monitoring and communication satellites the US has in geostationary orbit 32,000 kilometres above the Earth.

The Soviet ASAT system was developed between 1968 and 1982. At one time, the Russians experimented with infra-red homing devices on killer satellites. These are similar to the devices used by the USAF in its homing overlay experiments and are less easily jammed. Indications are however that the Soviets couldn't make them work. The Soviet ASAT system has been tried about 20 times with good results.

A remarkable test was carried out in June 1982 when the USSR launched two ICBMs, two anti-missile missiles, one submarine launched missile, one SS-20 intermediate range missile and a killer satellite. Despite failure on the killer satellite, most of the mission's objectives were fulfilled.

The last test we know of was in 1982 but there have been some puzzling and perhaps significant events since. In October 1983, Cosmos 1602 was launched into an orbit similar to that used by the ASAT killer satellites. The USSR filed incorrect orbital parameters with the United Nations to make the mission look more innocuous.

In September 1984, they launched the single biggest military satellite in the history of their space programme. It was the first time their largest operational booster had been used to launch a single spacecraft.

Cosmos 1603 initially entered an 118 x 112 miles orbit inclined at

AS the rain dripped over Budapest on Thursday last week, people's thoughts seemed to be far from the same date 30 years ago, when the Stalin statue was pulled down by angry citizens and the first shots were fired to announce the beginning of the Hungarian revolution.

The plethora of articles and radio and television programmes explaining the Government's interpretation of the events in 1956 culminated in a double-

page spread in the party newspaper, *Nepszabadsag*. Quoting liberally from Western sources the article was intended to demonstrate that after 30 years Janos Kadar's regime enjoys widespread legitimacy.

In a break from the past, the author referred to the existence of an opposition and its participation in last year's parliamentary elections as proof of the democratisation which Hungary is al-

leged to have experienced since the mid-seventies.

Although there can be no doubt that Mr Kadar has achieved a popular support which most other East European leaders can only dream of, the Government does seem to have been quite worried as to how the revolution would be commemorated. Since May this year, some of the more critical political journals, which are published

perfectly legally, have been prevented from appearing.

Much of the Government's campaign has been orchestrated by the historian and Politburo member, Mr Janos Berecs. In recent months speculation has increased in Budapest that Mr Kadar may soon resign. The two favourites to succeed him are now Mr Berecs and another Politburo member, Mr Karoly Grosz.

Kadar, the great survivor


Michael Simmons
on the Hungarian
revolution of 1956

TO THIS DAY, nobody knows how many Hungarians died in the uprising of 1956, but all around Budapest there are hundreds, if not thousands, of unmarked graves. Many are now almost invisible, covered in weeds or long grass: those who in the next week or two want to lay wreaths or pay respects could have a hard job finding the precise spot.

As for Imre Nagy, the avuncular reformist who effectively led the uprising and for a moment withdrew Hungary from the Warsaw Pact, he is thought to have been buried — after his execution in 1958 — in the Losonc Cemetery in the Pest area of Budapest. Some of the workers and students who died in his support are in the same vicinity.

Less certain are the whereabouts of the remains of the scores, possibly hundreds, of Stalinist secret policemen, members of the AVH, who were the main adversaries of the insurgents. And what of the 5,000 Soviet soldiers who — according to some Hungarian estimates — were killed as they sought to crush the uprising with tanks?

Today, many buildings in the centre of Budapest, and in towns and villages up and down the country, tell their own story. The grime of decades cannot hide the pink marks left by bullets and deeper gashes left by shells which are clearly visible on many walls.



Janos Kadar

The coffee bars and cafes of Budapest are busy as ever. They are favoured meeting places, frequented, depending on the district, by a regular clientele of writers, perhaps, or students, or blue collar workers. Thirty years ago, over endless small cups of strong coffee, the "events" of 1956 were given shape, and have ever since been dissected in endless argument.

Today there is still lots to argue over. Newspapers and magazines have been running reminiscences and articles on 1956, and television has been interviewing "survivors." Even the country's less than scrupulous leader, Janos Kadar, has been discussing the events in public speeches.

These events convulsed the Soviet leadership and split the world Communist movement — a source

of quiet pride to many Hungarians — but until five years or so ago, the official silence about them was total. They would be dismissed as counter-revolution — whipped up by anti-Communist elements in the West.

Young people who were not even born in 1956 want to know more. Pale imitations of punk they may be, or somewhat dishevelled and anonymously bearded, or even notionally middle-class bureaucrats, but they are still tending to pack the meetings, not all unofficial, which are now being held in Budapest.

The unveiling process, for many in the know, is without doubt a complicated and sometimes painful business. It is not just a case of rewriting history — though school history books have in fact just been revised — but also of re-assessing the posture of people now in power. Some, after all, can only have got where they are today by backing the counter-revolution conspiracy theory, others by thanking the Russians for crushing the whole thing before it got out of hand.

It also means, as it has meant for 30 years, looking yet again at the wrinkled face of Mr Kadar, the one-time supporter of the luckless Imre Nagy, and asking him a host of still unanswered questions about his precise role before, during and in the immediate aftermath of the events.

Some have until now been too fraught to be asked publicly in Hungary today. Others are relatively innocuous, touching for instance on what went on when he was apparently "lost" for some days at the height of the uprising, what arguments he advanced for his own survival, and exactly how he has managed to push through so many of the measures that Nagy himself had died for.

Some people who played prominent roles in 1956 have already come forward with explanations and rationalisations of often controversial actions. Thus Antal Apró, now 73, was trade union leader and a Minister under the hated Matyas Rakosi. Then he became deputy Prime Minister under Nagy, and some again under Janos Kadar.

In a television interview the other day, Mr Apró said he had supported Nagy during his first spell as Prime Minister but that during the uprising he had disagreed with him "almost from the very beginning." Janos Kadar has emerged, he suggested, because he had "personal courage

and the ability to initiate things." Bola Biszku was a party man whose career prospered once Kadar took over from Nagy. He has now told how he disagreed with the show trial of Laszlo Rajk from whom Kadar, as a friend, had elicited the "confession" which led to his execution. But Biszku had gone so far as to emphasise that there were people who disagreed with Nagy being condemned.

Tamas Nagy, one of Hungary's leading post-war economists, told how Rakosi forced him to divorce his wife because of her alleged associations with Rajk, and how he, Nagy, had supported emergence of Imre Nagy (no relation) because he believed in the multi-party system. Tamas Nagy told viewers he had not expected the Russians to return and crush the uprising and he was surprised when the tanks came in.

In other words, enough questions have been asked and oblique answers to provide raw material for a cross-examination of

Mr Kadar himself. Early in his career, he suffered a prison sentence and torture under the Rakosi regime, which in their way qualified for public office. Today, he has reached a point where he is without doubt one of the more palpably popular national leaders to have been thrown up by the Communist movement. Certainly, he is not cosseted and kept beyond public reach the way some other Warsaw Pact leaders are, and despite present uncertainties he has presided over a system of economic and political management which is not manifestly disliked.

Kadar was close to Imre Nagy's side in 1956, speaking up for "the glorious uprising" which had won freedom and independence for Hungary — "without which there is no socialism and can be no socialism." The Hungarian people, Mr Kadar said then, had proved with their own blood that they support unshakably the Government's demand "for the complete withdrawal of Soviet forces."

"We don't want any more dependence," said his party programme. "Let us join hands for the triumph of Hungarian independence and of Hungarian liberty."

He did not go as far as Imre Nagy in endorsing withdrawal from the Warsaw Pact, but in the first edition of his newspaper, he did reject adherence to Leninism.

In other words, the wrinkles on the face of Janos Kadar are justified by the ravages of experience and time. But they also hide an enigmatic personality and answers which only he can give to a number of suddenly topical riddles. A Budapest engineer, after watching the latest of the televised "revelations," had a point when he asked: "How has Janos Kadar survived when Imre Nagy had died?" What, apart from the notorious faux pas over the Warsaw Pact, was wrong with the Nagy approach? These are the questions now being regularly asked in the city's coffee bars.

Oil stocks the joker in the pack

AS OIL prices nudged past the \$15 barrel mark last week an Opec spokesman cautiously ventured that they could reach \$17 a barrel by the end of this year when the cartel's latest agreement expires. There are several reasons for thinking that he may have underestimated its impact.

While the 12 members of the organisation who are bound by the agreement are increasing their combined output by some 200,000 barrels a day, the 13th member — Iraq — will be having its exports cut by at least that amount because of work which is due to be carried out on a pipeline through Saudi Arabia which Baghdad used to get its oil to the outside world.

There is in fact every prospect that the overall quantity of crude pumped by the oil nations during November and December will be less than that produced during September and October. At the same time, as the industrialised northern hemisphere switches on the heating, demand will be higher.

The joker in the pack is stocks. Massive reserves are believed to have been built up in the summer when Opec's output ran out of control. Indeed, the communiqué issued by the cartel said that one of the aims of the new deal was that "excess supplies" should be

raising prices.

One of the main objectives of Sheikh Yamani and his allies in the conference which ended last week was to grab back the initiative from Iran's increasingly active Oil Minister, Mr Gholamreza Aghazadeh. The other was to get Opec to endorse a new quota system which would reflect the realities of the so-called "fair share" strategy — one that gave the states of the Arabian Peninsula a progressively larger share.

The sheikh and his friends could scarcely claim a victory on either count.

Once again, it was Mr Aghazadeh who put a stop to days of hickering by insisting on a temporary agreement with a higher ceiling. Riyadh salvaged what it could by proposing the 200,000 barrel a day figure the conference eventually accepted. But, as the final communiqué acknowledged, the idea was originally Iran's.

Opec received the first fruits of its latest agreement when the Norwegian government announced it would implement plans to cut oil exports by 10 per cent during November and December. The decision leaves Britain isolated as the only leading non-Opec exporter refusing to cooperate with the cartel.

By John Hooper

into endorsing a campaign to recapture the cartel's share of world oil markets.

The idea was that by boosting production and slashing prices, the demand for oil could be boosted and, for a variety of technical reasons, the squeeze could be put on non-Opec interlopers like Britain. In practice, the process is proving a great deal more bearable in the short-term — and profitable in long-term — to countries like Saudi Arabia, Kuwait and the UAE which have big reserves.

Last August, Iran led the poorer nations in Opec into a counter-attack which resulted in the first of the two temporary agreements which have been patched together over the last few months and

CLASSIFIED

CARS

Drive the best. Drive...
Autoquest
Car Rentals

Autoquest Car Rentals (GW) Ltd., Harsham Road, Mid Holmwood, Dorking, Surrey RH5 4ER, England. Tel: 0306 886649. Telex: 859135

ACCESS CAR HIRE U.K.

Phone: 0734-410551
Telex: 848792

12-14 School Road, Church Road, B33 5AA

See our full range of cars and hire rates in our brochure or on our website

Group	Car	Price	Group	Car	Price
A1	Ford Fiesta 1.1L (for 10 miles)	£65	G	Ford Sierra 1.6L (for 10 miles)	£99
B	Ford Escort 1.3L (for 10 miles)	£70	H	Ford Granada 1.6L (for 10 miles)	£129
C	Ford Escort 1.3L Estate (for 10 miles)	£75	J	Ford Granada Estate 1.6L (for 10 miles)	£120
D	Ford Escort 1.6 Ghia (for 10 miles)	£80	K	Mercedes 190E (for 10 miles)	£129
SP	Ford Escort XR3i (for 10 miles)	£129	KS	Mercedes 200SL (for 10 miles)	£295
E	Ford Sierra 1.6L (for 10 miles)	£85	KL	Mercedes 280SE (for 10 miles)	£199

Weekly Rates - Unlimited Miles - Comprehensive Insurance - Child Seats - Free delivery London Airport on all hires of 2 weeks. Credit Card. All Rates plus VAT.

For a free brochure or to book, call 0734-410551

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS OF REGENTS are a long established family company. Our service is friendly and designed to make your car hire as simple and pleasant as possible. Our fleet includes new Ford and Volkswagen and with offices in major centres, we really can offer great service at competitive rates.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

Self Drive Car Hire in the U.K.

WOODS

FLYING TO GATWICK OR HEATHROW? LOW COST CAR HIRE FROM

£60 PER WEEK Unlimited mileage, VAT, Insurance.

AA cover included.

Please write for brochure

WORTH SELF DRIVE

14a Priestley Way, Crawley, Sussex RH10 2NT, England

Tel: (0293) 29027

MANCHESTER

GATEWAY TO NORTH BRITAIN

Large selection of 1985/86 Cars, Estates and Automatics, from £60 per week. No mileage charge. Delivery and collection to Airport. Child seats available.

Brochure by return airmail

MANCHESTER SELF-DRIVE

1212 STOCKPORT ROAD, MANCHESTER, M19 2RA.

Tel: 061-452 0884 Telex: 868514 TORTECO.

IF YOU ARE HIRING A CAR MAKE IT E.C.R.

"WE'RE CHEAPER BY FAR"

Cars from £50 p.w. including unlimited mileage. A/V/R/C Membership, radon in all models, free delivery. Heathrow, Gatwick, Luton and Central London. All vehicles current Ford models including Automatic and Estate.

For quotation write to:

Economica Car Rentals Limited, P.O. Box 8

Betchworth, Surrey, RH3 7DZ.

Telephone: 01-842 2289

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

Telex: 817710

1.2 metre segmented mirror was tested as a prototype for a 25 metre mirror for an orbiting astronomical telescope. Scptical Western experts have wondered if the test was purely scientific in motivation.

In 1983 two cosmonauts on board the Salyut 7 space station were told to put on goggles at certain parts of their orbit. This was while overflying Soviet laser test facilities and after they had reconfigured Salyut 7 to act as a laser target for space tracking tests. Two years later a similar test was carried out by the space shuttle Discovery. It reflected back to earth a laser aimed at it from Hawaii.

The US Star Wars system also envisages using particle beam weapons, again a topic under intensive study in the USSR. One US Department of Defence report claimed that a Soviet particle beam able to disrupt satellites could be in use in the 1990s. It is also known that the USSR has undertaken research into radio frequency weapons for disabling satellites for some time. In the 1960s they developed a gun able to fire tungsten bullets at 25 kilometres a second in air and 60 kilometres a second in a vacuum, against similar to US Star Wars projects.

But it is the USSR's Anti-Satellite (ASAT) capability that is the most advanced. Modified ICBM's blast off minutes after a target satellite passes overhead. The "killer" satellites go into lower and faster orbit than its target and closes in rapidly over two orbits lasting 90 minutes. When the killer satellite's radar tracking system brings it to within a few kilometres of the target, it explodes, showering it with shrapnel, shredding its antenna and splintering its solar panels. It is a fairly crude system that only threatens a small number of low-orbit satellites.

However, some of the other research into lasers and particle beams may provide the USSR with

51.6 degrees to the Earth's equator. In this orbit it would repeat its ground tracks every 24 hours and so it was believed to be an electronic spy satellite or Elint. However, the Space Defence Operations Centre at Colorado Springs lost track of it.

When it turned up again, it was in a 628 miles circular orbit inclined at 66.6 degrees, a manoeuvre that must have required extensive use of fuel. When it had settled down into this orbit they lost it again. It was lost three times and the Space Defence Operations Centre had to bring in extra staff to find it.

When it was discovered, it was in the same altitude orbit but this time inclined at 71 degrees. The exact purpose of such remarkable manoeuvres is unknown outside the USSR but it does seem like the test of a new booster with substantial anti-satellite weapon avoidance capability.

Risks and rewards after the Big Bang

THE City of London will never be the same again now that the last big restrictive practices have been swept away, leaving the square mile open to the ruthless and devouring winds of international competition. It is not the Big Bang which is important — but the even bigger fall out when losers tumble in droves by the wayside. Even before the fuse paper had been lit most of the City's stockbroking firms were in the throes of being taken over by foreign companies. America is supposed to be the real danger, yet Japan is already said to arrange 40 per cent of loans (mainly international) originating from London and to have a similar share of local authority loans.

This doesn't mean that banking will necessarily go the way of the motor cycle industry. But it will be the survival of the fittest. And there is no reason to suppose that City institutions which lost out so heavily on the growth of the vast (and London based) Eurodollar market will fare any better than manufacturing industry has in open competition with the rest of the world.

The Big Bang will certainly bring benefits. Buying large lines of shares or Government stock will — like Japanese televisions — be cheaper. The City will have to break down its class barriers further in order to attract the best people.

The economy of London and the Southeast will gain from "invisible" banking earnings and from the influx of spending from foreign operators. It is even possible that a future Labour government could gain from cheaper access to a world wide source of finance.

But at what cost are these benefits being bought? What is now happening is that world-wide deregulation of financial services coupled with the abolition of exchange controls is pushing the money markets further and further away from national control. The Governor of the Bank of England, once the master of the City, can no longer move his eyebrows to bring recalcitrants to bay. Money doesn't live here any more. In the early years of the Big Bang there are bound to be crashes (affecting consumers' savings) simply because there will be too many firms chasing too little business. One of the main reasons why the \$200 billion a year Eurobond market is based in London is that Britain has less restrictive legislation than America. And we turn a blind eye to the ocean of mutual tax avoidance on which the Eurodollar market hangs. Remember that when the next social security scrounger hits the headlines in the popular press.

But the worst worry about Big Bang is what will, or will not happen, to British industry as a result. Most loans which sweep across the world at the touch of a

computer button are related to the financing needs of governments and industry. The loans may balloon into twenty times the value of the trade on which they are ultimately based with overpaid middle men taking their cut of the paper chase. But without wealth creation at the base of the pyramid they could not exist. Now, in theory, increased competition ought to bring cheaper loans for British industry. And if Japanese banks export to us some of the long term commitment they have to their own industries then well and good.

But will they? There is a parallel danger that, in the rush to be among the survivors, the new banking conglomerates will take in an even shorter term view of lending to industry than they do already. There may have been an explosion of lending on the international markets in recent years but it has not exactly been used to resuscitate manufacturing industry, whose investment is still 17 per cent below what it was in 1978. The reasons for that are complex. But it has got something to do with the money-making functions of the City disengaging from real risk-taking in industry and assuming an offshore life of their own. Unless the Big Bang can re-engage with the cogs of industry then it will eventually cut off the hand that is ultimately feeding it.

Big Bang fizzles, page 3

Own goal by Black Africa

THE SUSPENSION of the South African delegation from the International Red Cross Conference in Geneva as a protest against apartheid has back-fired with predictable speed. Indeed Pretoria's reaction to the move — the expulsion of Red Cross representatives from the country — was such a cast-iron certainty that the African delegates who organised the suspension cannot claim to be surprised by the response. The result is a tragedy, not so much for the world's most famous humanitarian organisation as for the victims of apartheid inside South Africa. Red Cross officials have been helping refugees from the various wars and other disasters in southern Africa which are wholly or partly attributable to apartheid. They have also been able to help prisoners and detainees gaoled under Pretoria's vicious and sweeping security laws. This can only mean that a lot of people are going to suffer as a direct consequence of the removal of the fragile but tangible protection of the Red Cross.

The Red Cross is Switzerland's greatest contribution to humanity and civilisation. For more than a century its standard, an

inversion of the Swiss flag, has flown above the battle as a universally recognised symbol of mercy. The good works done in its name are more than enough to justify the Swiss dedication to the principle of neutrality, which does not always command unqualified admiration. Even the Nazis felt obliged to respect it during a war in which they set new standards of inhumanity. The Africans however now think they have been relieved of the need to do so just as it was proving its irreplaceable value.

The Africans in Geneva, frustrated by their general inability to weaken apartheid significantly from outside, applied the boycott, the strongest weapon of the week, to get the South Africans expelled. In doing so they adopted a doctrinaire approach which is understandable in the circumstances but is also evidence of sloppy thinking about how to oppose apartheid. Sometimes deliberate isolation has a dramatic effect, as with the sport, arms and oil embargoes. To get round measures of this kind the South Africans have either been obliged to compromise on apartheid or to make enormous economic efforts to compen-

sate. Sometimes, however, the opposite approach has been equally effective. The Foreign Ministry has recently appointed its first "non-white" diplomat and is about to be forced to swallow the arrival of a black ambassador from the United States.

The lesson from all this is that a boycott is no more the automatically correct answer to apartheid than engagement, constructive or otherwise. Selective sanctions are still a better bet than universal, mandatory ones. The right course to choose is the one which creates the most embarrassment for the system and forces it to change. In arguing that the Red Cross cannot be neutral as between apartheid and racial justice in South Africa, the African delegates made a point which cannot be lightly dismissed. But in doing so they have ensured that a lot of people are going to suffer more than they would have done with the Red Cross present.

On balance it looks like an own-goal for which those who kicked it will not suffer — but a lot of helpless people in South Africa are going to have to pay dearly now the referee has been sent off.

The third horse hits a hurdle

FORGET (if that is possible) Mr Jeffrey Archer: at least for the moment. Put to one side over-heated interviewers wondering whether the train leaving platform 3 at Victoria Station carries all Mrs Thatcher's election hopes in the guard's van. For just before the demise of the king of the Central Office rubber chicken circuit, something rather more momentous was happening. Two polls (one a leaked Conservative survey, one a Marplan Special) showed the Alliance in what seemed a free fall. Whilst the Tories and the Labour Party were neck and neck, the Liberals and the SDP together had declined to (variously) nineteen per cent or seventeen per cent. Take the Marplan result and apply it to a mechanical formula to the general election and you find the Alliance falling back from 1983, losing seats, whilst the fabled Balance of Power in a hung Parliament resides with Dr Ian Paisley and Friends. Tidings of discomfort and gloom.

It is instructive to remember how Mr Steel and Dr Owen got themselves into this fine mess. A single, chaotic afternoon at Eastbourne was all it took. One of those flailing Liberal assembly sessions of unbeloved memory, in which party dignitaries make heady speeches and the floor votes from its heart rather than its head. Twenty-seven votes were the difference between credibility and failure. But 27 votes were more than enough. When the

Alliance fell out over defence policy, you could sense its support toppling away.

The question for the winter — and quite probably the question for all of this Parliament — is whether enough of that potential support can be wooed back. For much more than the fate of Owen and Steel rides on the Alliance equation. Mr Kinnock's best hope of a Labour majority depends on a Liberal/SDP surge to the top twenties of percentage vote, cutting away at enough Southern Tory strongholds to make Labour's Northern sweep decisive. Mrs Thatcher's best hopes depends on keeping the Southern Alliance peripheral. So, what are the chances?

If words and contrition could do the trick, they would already be much brighter. The Liberals — only moments after Eastbourne's euphoria — knew that they'd done something silly. Last week, their MPs and top brass began repairing the damage, putting together a defence policy which Dr Owen (who pushed too hard and too insensitively) is now hailing enthusiastically in telephone calls to the BBC from foreign parts. In a rational world, such enthusiasm might seem well merited. The Liberals are now firm believers in nuclear disarmament — but not at any unilateral price. If negotiations fail, they would keep Polaris and replace it by some modest, equivalent weapon. Passion for disarmament and prudent care for the real world are carefully

balanced. Put that latest amendment together with a couple of the doctor's more magisterial speeches and the joint working party on defence and you have a pretty intelligent, pretty flexible series of propositions to apply to a world gone helter-skelter after Reykjavik. Certainly no-one could say that the Alliance has a monopoly of election defence trouble. Mr Kinnock's line — in the wake of Blackpool — looks anything but fireproof; whilst Mrs Thatcher's anxiety that her friend in the White House won't take all his cruises and Perings away is going to be a hard act to peddle.

The problem for the two Davids, however, is that parity of dubiety doesn't seem to be enough. The Alliance began as an adventure. Many times still, in the heat of by-election campaigns, that sense of adventure gives it an almost predictable lift. But, even before Harrogate and Eastbourne, the national polls were telling a rather saggy story. Labour's glossy refurbishment, on the one hand, was recapturing some of the old ground. The Tories' array of little economic hooks — British Telecom shares, TSB, British Gas, tax cuts and the rest — was clawing back dissent in the South. The Alliance, seemingly, has lost the momentum of adventure. It had settled, perhaps too readily, for the complacency — and abstruse theology — of three party politics. Can the momentum be recaptured? It will be difficult (especially while moves towards

Changing the Civil Guard

THE true nature of the threat to Spanish democracy from the persistent and apparently unquenchable Basque terrorist campaign is now becoming clearer and simultaneously more serious. The latest atrocity over the weekend, when the military governor of one of the Basque provinces was killed with his wife and son by a bomb, is merely the latest in a long line of spectacularly dreadful assaults on the human symbols of the Spanish state. But a principal difficulty of the moderate Socialist Government of Mr Felipe Gonzalez in dealing with the Basque separatists is the worrying imperfections of his principal instruments, the security services. As we in this country know as well as anyone, terrorism is dauntingly difficult to root out and it also imposes special stresses and strains on those peid to confront it.

There are many analogies between the IRA and ETA campaigns, but we are particularly fortunate in Britain as compared with Spain in one crucial respect. We do not look back to a long period of dictatorship which ended only 11 years ago on the death of General Franco. The remarkable progress which has been made in Spain with the reestablishment of democracy is one of the most positive developments in postwar Europe. Mr Gonzalez has felt confident enough to purge the leadership of the military which was the mainstay of the Franco regime, and even to repeat the treatment without noticeable political disadvantage when the first attempt proved insufficient. But he has found the reform of the police an even tougher proposition which has not so far gone quite as he would wished.

In the paramilitary Civil Guard and the National Police the lieutenants and colonels of Franco's day have become today's majors and generals. There is much evidence that the habits they learned in rougher times are dying hard, insofar as they are dying at all. Human rights groups have gathered evidence against the Civil Guard in particular of routine torture. In the National Police the main problem appears to be generalised corruption. The Government has just decided to appoint civilians to head both forces for the first time. These changes are large and encouraging steps in the right direction, coming as they do immediately after a group of examining magistrates issued a public warning that Spain was in danger of reverting to a police state after officers refused to cooperate with an inquiry into alleged police lawbreaking. If the latest reforms do not have the desired effect, Mr Gonzalez could do worse than consider scrapping at least one of his country's nationwide law enforcement agencies altogether.

merger are greyly sidelined). But the opportunity remains. The SDP blueprint for tax rationalisation is one of the most intriguing, high risk political documents around. The Alliance's old fascination with incomes policy could rise again as inflation gnaws away at Mr Lawson's confidence; and wage control remains the two words that Mr Hattersley dare not speak. And, curiously enough, defence could still be a vote-winning issue.

The doubts are ones of frames of mind and of reluctance to gamble. Eastbourne's ghosts, for instance, could only truly be exorcised by a second, special conference, and the melodrama of minds changed. But no. That option has been pushed aside. Forms of words will have to do. In this area, as in many others, that won't suffice. The Alliance is playing for high stakes or it is playing for very little. Alas, the most current polls show how very little that might be.

Letters to the Editor are welcomed but not all can be acknowledged. We don't like cutting them but sometimes this is necessary to get them in the page — short letters stand a better chance. Send them to The Guardian Weekly, PO Box 10, Cheshire, Cheshire SK8 1DD, England.

Le Monde

ENGLISH SECTION

The former self-styled emperor of Central Africa, Jean-Bedel Bokassa, arrived unexpectedly at M'poko airport in Bangui, Central Africa, on Thursday last week and was promptly arrested and taken to Ngaraba prison. He had slipped out of his Château de Hardicourt in the Yvelines département and driven to Brussels where he took an Air Afrique flight under the assumed name of Christian Solé. His wife Catherine and five of his children (he has 55 children in all from several wives) who accompanied him were

immediately sent back to Paris in another Air Afrique flight.

A communiqué issued by the office of the Central African President, General André Kolingba, and read out on the national radio explained that the "Ogre de Berengo" (the name of the place, 80 kilometres east of Bangui, where Bokassa's palace was situated), who had been condemned to death in absentia on December 19, 1980, would be dealt with in keeping with the provisions of the law.

Bokassa's baffling return to Bangui

By Laurent Zecchini

CONDEMNED to death in absentia for "assassinations, misappropriation of state funds, assault and battery, possession of cadavers and cannibalism, to say no more." This how General Kolingba last May described the charges hanging over the man who elevated himself to marshal and later led himself crowned on December 4, 1977, as emperor at a grandiose and ridiculous ceremony attended by members of the then French government.

And Kolingba, who has been President since September 1981, added: "I wouldn't want Bokassa to return to Central Africa; I believe it's in his interest to stay where he is." Kolingba plainly feared his return: "That would mean having to go through the trial all over again and reliving the disorder in Central Africa."

Bokassa... Seven years later, the events come back, picture after picture. The "imperial palace" of Berengo, a product of its occupant's demented imagination, with its factories, "the Empress Catherine's bedroom", and the bedroom of the "Romanian" Gabriella, sent into the "emperors' arms by Bucharest's secret services, the "throne room" with its safes smashed open by French paratroopers sent in to "top up" the place; the Kolongo villa and its cold-rooms stuffed with human cadavers, the crocodile pool, the lions' cage where victims were devoured alive as the "court" and guests looked on; the slaughter of schoolchildren on September 20, 1979 in which the "emperor" is said to have personally given a hand, and which the then Minister of Cooperation Roger Galley dismissed as a "pseudo-event"; the scandals of the "diamonds" presented to President Giscard d'Estaing which haunted the 1981 presidential campaign; the fall of the "emperor" following a French military operation which Giscard d'Estaing described as the "overthrow of a brutal and contemptible regime"; the scores of deaths attributable to "Boka's" murderous insanity; and especially the shock of the people.

General Kolingba is understandably worried. Bokassa's return to Bangui is likely to stir up many painful memories in Central Africa and in France. Paradoxically enough, Bangui could be ignited by a spark — and Bokassa with his revelations could provide that fuse. Such a thing happened when a French Jaguar crashed into a residential section of the capital in March killing 31 people. It immediately set off violent anti-French demonstrations that the authorities were not

able to control quickly.

The presence of the EFAO (French Operational Assistance Units) on the banks of the Oubangui is felt as a burden by part of the population, particularly in the poorer neighbourhoods, where the French soldiers are known as "berrécouds". Troubles in Bangui? The former head of state's followers are still around, of course, but have been out of sight for a long time. They are a few former local bigwigs who took advantage of the despot's unpredictable bouts of generosity, a few hankering for the pomp and circumstance of the "Empire" and a few pseudo-moralisers who consider that in Bokassa's time there was "only one big thief" compared with today's "forty thieves" (the government). At any rate, they do not add up to very many and pose little threat to the government.

Then there is the fragmented institutional opposition, many of whose leaders are veterans who share a hunger for power with all the perks that presupposes. There is Ange Patasse's MLPC (Movement for the Liberation of the Central African People); Abel Gombé's FPO-PT (Patriotic Ubgangian Front-Labour Party); Henri Madio's PRP (Republican Party for Progress); and the MCLN (Central African National Liberation Movement), plus a few prominent figures and retired generals.

Doubtless, it would be better to say "there were", for so many of these opposition "leaders" have been either shouldered aside or forgotten. True, a feature of Bangui is that a latent opposition can simmer in the poorer neighbourhoods and this could flare up overnight or even become organised.

Kolingba has been making great efforts in the last couple of years to give his government a more presentable image. When the fifth anniversary of his assumption of power was celebrated on September 1, clemency measures were taken in respect of 23 political detainees.

Central Africans will soon have to vote in a referendum on the new constitution, and last March President Kolingba announced he was forming up a single party, the RDC (Rally for Democracy in Central Africa). In short, several efforts have been undertaken in a country where respecting human rights remains a distant goal. These are extremely fragile achievements. Bokassa is indeed a trouble-maker first and a criminal afterwards.

(October 26)

French dilemma over relations with Syria

By Jacques Amaric

BY BREAKING OFF diplomatic ties with Damascus, Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher confronted quite a few European capitals. Paris in particular, with a prickly problem.

For the first time, in fact, a Western state has irrefutable facts pointing to direct Syrian involvement in Nezar Hindawi's attempt to blow up an El Al Boeing in flight.

Right away this presents Britain's European partners with a delicate problem: what should they do? And especially, as high-level French government sources acknowledge, "the evidence London has is so much more convincing than the woolly allegations General Vernon Walters made when he tried to convince us of Libyan responsibility in certain terrorist acts."

While this is so, the break in relations between London and Damascus came as no surprise to French officials. They had been warned ahead of the measure, and President Mitterrand himself was able to gauge at first hand Margaret Thatcher's mood during their

Damascus reacted swiftly and in a tit-for-tat manner to Britain's decision on Friday, October 24, to break off diplomatic relations with Syria following the conviction of a Jordanian, Nezar Hindawi, to 45 years' imprisonment for attempting to blow up an El Al passenger plane in April. The British initiative is embarrassing France, which was expected to clarify its position at a meeting of EEC foreign ministers on October 27. The United States has decided to recall its ambassador in Damascus. The Canadian ambassador in Syria was recalled by Ottawa for consultations some time earlier.

October 16 meeting. It was admitted that the Hindawi case and its consequences were on the agenda of their talks.

It is also possible that British security officials let French Security Minister Robert Pandraud glimpse their files on the case when he went to London on October 20.

On Saturday, October 25, Paris had still not decided how to react to the British initiative. But officials were saying, almost in relief, that all London was asking for was basically "verbal solidarity" and would be satisfied with a moral condemnation of Syria. This condemnation was set to be discussed at the October 27 meeting in Brussels. French Foreign Minister Jean-Bernard Raimond was not expected to attend the meeting because he was scheduled to be at the French-German summit in Frankfurt. Instead, he was to be represented by Bernard Bosson, the minister responsible for European Affairs, and his instructions were to express France's "complete

contempt" for the possibility of sending to Damascus these groups of economics and financial experts that President Hafiz el-Assad has been impatiently expecting since July — experts who are due to carry out a sort of audit of the country's situation? Is it indeed realistic to consider continuing to give economic assistance to a terrorist state on the brink of bankruptcy, even if France has no proof directly involving Syria in the September terrorist attacks in Paris?

These are the questions that French officials were grappling with over the weekend. The French hostages held in Lebanon have added to the dilemma, especially the following Monday French-Iranian financial negotiations were due to resume with a view to "normalising" relations with Iran, Syria's current ally and the country which, in the usual euphemistic diplomatic language, "has an influence on those who are holding the hostages..." (October 26/27)



Drawing by Phil Witte

No tears at the Elysée over Reykjavik failure

NO TEARS, haemorrhagic or crocodilian, were shed at the Elysée, Matignon, Quai d'Orsay or even the Defence Ministry when news came through that the Reykjavik summit had collapsed. One of the points in the deal that Reagan and Gorbachev at one stage came so close to agreeing was in fact the famous zero option — the simultaneous dismantling of intermediate-range missiles in Europe, Soviet SS-20s and American Pershing II and cruise missiles. France, like all the Nato countries, may well have approved this attractive idea in its time, but the fact is it now scares their leaders.

What do they fear? That Europe's guard might be lowered given the Warsaw Pact's enormous superiority in conventional and chemical weapons. That the United States, whose nuclear commitment is pretty nearly automatic in case of a limited aggression against Europe so long as it keeps its own missiles here, might hesitate longer to act once they have been withdrawn. Finally, and above all, that the French and British deterrent may be called into question in the very short term.

For the Soviet government has frequently pointed out that in case of an agreement with Washington it would raise the question of the two European nuclear arsenals. It is perfectly willing to allow them

to be kept in their present state, but does not want them updated, something that Paris and London say is absolutely essential to make them credible.

The collapse of the Reykjavik summit provides a breathing spell for pondering an answer to the question raised in this way. It takes nothing away from the need to look for the answer. For until there is evidence that this is not the case, the setback is only a hiccup, considering how eagerly both sides have been going about minimising its gravity. The sticking point was largely the famous Strategic Defence Initiative, better known as "Star Wars", whereas the progress achieved in so many other areas was, as George Shultz pointed out, "quite simply gigantic".

Getting on in years, his final presidential term running out and anxious, as one might expect, to keep his country safe from war for ever, Ronald Reagan we know is convinced that technological progress will offer him the means to achieve this. All that is necessary is to build a space shield with orbiting space stations and laser beams which enemy missiles would not be able to pierce. Consequently, at Reykjavik, he had no hesitation in proposing that all nuclear missiles be dismantled within ten years. Why only nuclear missiles, when many conventional,

not to mention chemical, weapons, can kill just as many while disarming infinitely less? That's a mystery.

That Ronald Reagan's space "dream" has applicable aspects there is no denying. It is just as true that many industries in the United States and Europe see opportunities in it for obtaining particularly timely orders. As to the shield succeeding in turning away the adversary's sword, every-

By André Fontaine

thing history has taught up to now prompts one to believe nothing of it.

And the Soviets? There are doubtless differing analyses among them as in the West. The most political analyses seem convinced the SDI mountain will labour and bring forth a mouse as soon as Reagan quits the White House. But for dividing the Allies and obtaining the best conditions in a possible horse trade, it is in their interest to uphold the contention of those who argue that the United States' sole aim is either to obtain a decisive strategic edge, with the space shield — according to them — providing a means for launching a surprise attack, or to push the Soviet economy, already in bad shape, into a ruinous technological competition.

But it is precisely because the arms race is already a heavy drain on a sluggish Soviet economy that Gorbachev has been busy, since his arrival in the Kremlin, trying to reactivate détente both with the West and China. Resistance to this inside the system itself would have to be sufficiently strong, as Khrushchev found out in his time, for the effort to be called off.

For we Europeans, at any rate, it is indeed the last thing we would want. Apart from the fact that a renewed cold war could only aggravate even more the countless conflicts that are costing lives the world over and whose repercussions, especially in the form of terrorism, we are still feeling, there is nothing to show that the West's unity would stand up for ever to the appeal of pacifism. The victory in the recent battle of Euromissiles was only narrowly won and there was a moment when West Germany looked as if it might be caught up in the drift to neutralism. It is Great Britain that seems threatened today; the Iron Lady's increasing unpopularity could well end up in the coming months returning to power the Labour Party now fallen victim to the delusion of unilateral nuclear disarmament.

Perhaps the risk should not be taken too tragically. Harold Wilson also campaigned for "unilateralism" in his day, but this

did not prevent him from launching two nuclear missile submarines once he had been elected. The French Socialists behaved in a no less spectacular way. But the fact remains that too many gestures have been made by both sides in recent days, and in particular the Soviet acceptance for the first time of on-site inspection of arms reduction or limitation measures, for public opinion to come to terms easily with a return to the devastating logic of escalation.

It is not enough to tell yourself that in this age of deterrence one nuclear power could not possibly attack another nuclear power without destroying itself. We have to draw the conclusion from this and therefore find the means for stopping the worst of drifts — diverting into preparations for a war nobody wants and nobody believes in a massive proportion of the resources that would be necessary to halt Europe's decline and avert the Third World's bankruptcy. The two superpowers which are squaring up to each other in the name of outdated ideologies will one day have to ponder the question, between themselves and with us, of how to make the next century a little better than the present one; in other words, that they try to look a little beyond their own short-term interests.

(October 22)

Mozambique Continued from page 13

strategic structure? Mozambican and Zimbabwean troops will not be enough. Given the importance of what is at stake, suggestions have been made of turning the job over to a multinational force. Despite all this, Maputo's leaders would like to be masters in their own country, but the question is whether they have the means for that.

However it may look at the problem and whichever way it

turns, Mozambique is in no position to impose terms. It is more than ever dependent on foreign countries. Could it be otherwise when its foreign debt is running at \$4 billion and its export earnings amount to only \$100 million?

Mozambique is still managing to stay on its feet, to survive. But its people, exhausted by their ordeals, lack a reason for hoping, therefore for acting.

(October 22)

LONDON HOTELS AND APARTMENTS

LONDON, Wimbledon — a/c flats for 2-6, 5 mins. etc., CH, TV, 2 weeks min. From 280 pw. Brochure: 17 St Mary's Road, London, SW19. Tel: 01-947 0873.

Elizabeth Hotel

London SW1.
Ideal central quiet location nr. Belgrave. Sg./Dbl./Twin/Family rms. Highly recommended. Engl. Bkfst. Budget rates. Free brochure: 37 Eccleston Square, Victoria SW1V 1PB. Tel: (01) 828 8812.

FAIRLAWN APARTMENTS

Kensington W11
A temporary London home for visitors or families on the move. Short or long lets in comfortable fully equipped service flats, sleeping 2-7 from £20 per flat per day. Fully equipped kitchen, fridge, oven, iron, central heating, hot water, colour television, included with maid service and private telephone. Push chairs, high chairs and cots or cribs available on request without charge. Baby-sitting and easy car parking. Easy access to West End and Portobello Road.
Brochure: 109 Elgin Crescent, Kensington, London W11. Tel: 01-229 5006

EDUCATION

CITY & GUILDS OF LONDON ART SCHOOL
Principal: Roger de Grey, P.R.A.
Full-time: 1 yr foundation course, 5 yr diploma courses in Painting, Illustrative Arts, Sculpture, Sculpture Carving in Stone & Wood, and Restoration of Wood, Stone & Polychrome Finishes, Decorative Arts BTEC diploma courses in Restoration, Carving & Polychrome, 3 year general and 2 year Higher, 2-year diploma courses in Lettering, and Woodcarving & Gilding, 1 year 22,300 per annum.
The Secretary, 134 Kensington Park Road, London W81T, England.

BOOKS

ANY NEW BOOK sent anywhere. Write: Whitman's Bookshop, 7 Orange Grove, Bath, UK. Visa/Mastercard accepted.

POSITION WANTED

ACCOUNTANT/FINANCIAL Administrator Available. Substantial International Experience. 15 Glenmar, Ottawa, Canada K2G 3E8.

202

LUXURY SERVICE APARTMENTS

FROM £39 per day

per apartment

LONDON

Self-contained, fully serviced apartments in Kensington — close to park, shops, tube and subway. Colour TV, telephone, equipped kitchen, central heating. Modern luxury at reasonable rates. Car park. Brochure by return mail. Quoted "5". CHALFONTE INVESTMENTS LTD., 202 KENSINGTON, CHURCH STREET, LONDON W8, ENGLAND. Tel: 01-228 5371 (enquiries) 777 700/228 5015. Telex: 21792 (R12554).

Le Monde

Director: André Fontaine
World copyright by Le Monde, Paris
All rights strictly reserved

The Washington Post

Road From Reykjavik

PHASE 1 of the post-summit process was defection; Phase 2 was a recovery of hope; Phase 3 was the effort to establish exactly what happened in Reykjavik — and Phase 4 is now developing. It's the effort to work out an alliance policy that satisfies American strategic considerations and the European allies' requirements too. This doesn't mean Phase 3 is finished. On the contrary, the White House and Mr. Gorbachev have been engaged in an extraordinary public debate over what was actually offered and agreed on in the hilly-burly of Iceland. Nonetheless, the emphasis now, at least on the American side, is to ensure that henceforth policy takes into full account the implications of trying to move rapidly to a Europe and a world without the different sorts of nuclear arms that the two leaders discussed cutting or eliminating at Reykjavik.

There are two considerations here. The first bears on Europe and the Strategic Defense Initiative. The Europeans are dubious about SDI but, out of deference to the leader of the alliance, they hesitate to get into the middle of the Soviet-American argument over it. Still, they do not want SDI to become an obstacle to Soviet-American arms control, which remains their political priority. To keep Moscow from using SDI as a wedge, Mr. Reagan will have to consult very closely with the Europeans as he goes along.

Then, like many strategic thinkers (including Pentagon officials) in the United States, the allies fear Europe might fare poorly if it is deprived of the nuclear forces that generations of Westerners have seen as compensating for Moscow's superior conventional forces. These alarms seem to have induced the administration, as it returns to Geneva, to edge back from some of the grander strategic proposals discussed in Iceland. The summit also made it urgent to reconsider the conventional arms balance in Europe. At Vienna on November 4, the latest Helsinki meeting begins. There Washington must blunt the expected Soviet attempt to remove all-European issues of human rights from this all-European forum. Further, it must devise the framework in which all the allies (including France) can enter talks on conventional forces and make them work. With nuclear cuts, either Western conventional forces must go up to match Moscow's advantages in forces-in-being and proximity, or Soviet forces must go down.

Mr. Gorbachev declares that the United States is "twisting" the results of Iceland. In the same breath he complains that while he came to Reykjavik with fresh proposals, Mr. Reagan arrived "empty-handed." But this is not the whole of it. Mr. Gorbachev went to Iceland announcing a modest purpose. Once there, he unveiled a strategy of surprise and tried to rush Mr. Reagan. Things moved fast — too fast, as is demonstrated by the continuing argument over whether the two agreed to destroy ballistic missiles or also other strategic offensive arms.

It may be thought an embarrassment now for Mr. Reagan to consolidate his policy at the mere modest end of a scale marked, as its other end, by the extravagance of Reykjavik. But this would be a small and passing embarrassment and one far preferable to accepting a Soviet reading that it is not in the American interest. Mr. Gorbachev will have no difficulty understanding this, as he settles down.

Don't Let This Chance Slip

LONDON — As the president of a European political party with an unquestioned track record of support for the NATO alliance and of respect and admiration for the United States, let me plead with NATO's friends in the administration and Congress: Don't let the massive Reykjavik achievement slip through your hands.

If it does, the political cost will be incalculable. In the furor about the siting of intermediate missiles in Western Europe, cruise and Pershing, the consensus on defense between Europe's democratic political parties began to fragment. Today Labor, the largest opposition party in Britain, stands for the removal of U.S. nuclear bases both for intermediate missiles and for bombers, and the abandoning of Britain's own nuclear deterrent, Polaris.

The SPD, West Germany's largest opposition party, and the Greens, its second largest, are moving in the same direction. These parties are ambiguous about whether they accept the U.S. nuclear umbrella: they would like to make a political gesture without paying the price in terms of national security. What they will not face up to is the potential fearsome repercussions on NATO and on U.S. public opinion of closing U.S. bases in Western Europe, which are here for our defense.

But the process of considering public opinion works both ways. European opinion has been impressed by the initiatives on disarmament of Mr. Gorbachev and Mr. Shevardnadze — for instance, the proposal to cut strategic missiles and the unilateral moratorium on nuclear testing have won them respect here. So has the steady

trickle of freed dissidents, from Shcharansky and Goldfarb to the Christian poet Irina Ratushinskaya. The new Soviet leaders appear to be approachable, responsive and open. It seems to many of us in Europe that they are at least attempting to make substantial changes in the Soviet system, against strong resistance from its entrenched and privileged bureaucracy. That does not change them into democrats or free-marketters. It does, however, mean we should not automatically reject their approaches.

In Reykjavik, President Reagan wisely did not do so. The two sides

By Shirley Williams

Special to The Washington Post

made almost unbelievable progress. There is now in place the framework of a 50 percent cut in strategic missiles, no longer hampered by arguments about precisely which missiles should bear those cuts. Both sides have come close to embracing the zero option on intermediate missiles, a proposal so sweeping that some Europeans are asking whether they really want to go so far. Soviet acceptance of detailed verification procedures has opened the door to a threshold nuclear test ban, too, if not yet to a comprehensive one.

Yet all this is at risk from one obstacle: the point at which the Strategic Defense Initiative moves outside the laboratory into testing and deployment. Under the ABM Treaty, narrowly interpreted, and no Western European government would accept the broad interpretation floated by some members of the U.S. administration — SDI

is effectively consigned to the laboratory. The president was willing to keep it within the ABM Treaty for 10 years, while the Soviet Union wants it constrained much longer.

Although the European leaders are publicly loyal, privately they are unhappy about SDI. Like many distinguished scientists, they doubt it will work, and even if it does, they believe it will enhance the deterrent by protecting missiles, not supersede it by protecting whole populations. Both Margaret Thatcher and Hans-Dietrich Genscher, the West German foreign secretary, have made it absolutely clear that there should be no deployment of SDI without full consultation with the allies, and that its development should remain within the ABM Treaty. The issue Reykjavik broke down on is not an issue on which the United States and its allies are united. And that presents grave political dangers.

Five years' delay — the difference between what the president was willing to concede and what the general secretary wanted to achieve — on testing a highly speculative piece of research seems insignificant compared with the opportunity of a massive reduction in nuclear arms, the opportunity to divert resources in the West to improve conventional defenses, and a moratorium on extending the arms race into space. Those of us in the Atlantic Alliance hope that the president will renew his efforts to save what he achieved in Reykjavik, and we hope that Congress will urge him to do so.

(Shirley Williams is president of Britain's Social Democratic Party.)

More Blacks, Hispanics At Risk From AIDS

By Cristine Russell

BLACK and Hispanic people account for 4 out of 10 cases of AIDS in the United States and as individuals stand a much greater risk of getting the fatal disease than white Americans, according to the federal Centers for Disease Control.

"This issue has been largely unappreciated," said Dr. Harold Jaffe, chief of the agency's AIDS epidemiology program. "The stereotype of AIDS is that it's a disease of middle-class white men. That has prevented people from seeing it as also a minority health problem."


"Blacks and Hispanics are being disproportionately affected by the epidemic," he said. "In minority populations, AIDS is a disease particularly affecting male and female intravenous drug users, their sexual partners and their children."

The report noted that of the more than 24,500 cases of acquired immune deficiency syndrome reported in the United States in the last five years, about 6,200, or 25 percent, were black persons. About 3,600 or 14 percent, were Hispanic. Blacks compose only 12 percent and Hispanics 6 percent of the overall U.S. population. About two-thirds of all black and Hispanic AIDS cases were concentrated in New York, New Jersey and Florida, the study found.

Once they get AIDS, blacks and Hispanics may also die of the disease more quickly than whites, said Dr. Beny Primm, a black who

WHICH CHILDREN GET AIDS


Breakdown of 367 cases of children (under age 13 when diagnosed) by risk factor believed to have led to the disease.



Source: Centers for Disease Control

WHICH ADULTS GET AIDS

Breakdown of 26,199 AIDS cases by risk factor (or factors) believed to have led to the disease.



Note: Figures add to 101% due to rounding

Source: Centers for Disease Control

The national incidence among black children is 15 times greater than that among whites, the agency said. Among Hispanics, it is 9 times greater.

Most of the children with AIDS acquired the disease before or during birth from mothers who were drug abusers, or whose sex partner was a drug abuser. The disease is spread through sexual contact and through the transmission of blood or other bodily fluids.

Both Dr. Jaffe and Dr. Primm emphasized the need for more recognition of the AIDS problem in minority communities and for education programs targeted to these communities.

"The black community," Dr. Primm said, "is in somewhat of a state of denial that this could necessarily be a problem for blacks. They haven't paid that much attention because of the two populations that are affected," namely homosexual men and drug abusers.

Minority children with AIDS have also been particularly hard hit. Of the 360 AIDS cases in children under 16, 58 percent have been black and 22 percent Hispanic.

heads the Addiction Research and Treatment Corp. in Brooklyn. He said that minority AIDS victims are often "debilitated when they come in, so bothered by so many health problems, wait so late to get help, and cannot get proper health care".

The new statistics show that among adult AIDS patients, the majority of cases are still among white homosexual and bisexual males. But looking at rates in ethnic terms, blacks and Hispanics are more than three times as likely as whites nationwide to get the disease.

There were also sexual differences. Men of all races account for over 90 percent of all known AIDS

To advertise in

The Guardian Weekly

Contact:

Howard Greaser, Advertisement Manager,
The Guardian Weekly,
119 Farringdon Road, London EC1R 3ER, England
Telephone: 01-278 2332.

Budapest 30 Years On

THE 30th anniversary of the Hungarian Revolution finds a general focus on a central irony. Janos Kadar, reviled then as Moscow's quisling for having summoned Soviet troops "to help our nation smash the sinister forces of reaction," is hailed now as patron of the most easygoing, high-living country in the Soviet empire.

It is a fair judgment, as long as you remember that communist rule in Hungary rests ultimately on force — Soviet force. Josef Stalin, the empire builder, had died in 1953, and Nikita Khrushchev had followed with the internal relaxation he felt necessary to avert an almost certain Soviet implosion. East Europe took its cue, and by October 23, 1955, crowds in Budapest (many democrats, a few fascists) were storming the secret police headquarters. The Kremlin hesitated, wondering for one thing whether the United States might aid the uprising. It regained its nerve instantly when Imre Nagy said he would make Hungary neutral — take it out of the bloc. Soviet tanks made the revolution a lasting metaphor for a people's noble but doomed resistance to Soviet power.

By 1964, nonetheless, Nikita Khrushchev was back in Budapest, insisting (within the context of his then-raging ideological battle with China) that Lenin himself knew that revolution was not enough. "We should have," he declared with a flourish, "more to eat — good goulash, schools, housing and ballet." This was the "goulash communism" by which the Kremlin hoped to appease discontent, adjust to the prevailing materialism and cynicism and restore its standing in Hungary and elsewhere.

Janos Kadar's goulash communism combines a bloc-leading measure of economic decentralization and the bloc's familiar measure of enforced one-party rule and political fidelity to Moscow — Hungarian troops helped crush the Czechs in 1968. This is how Janos Kadar, a Stalin-era police chief and the man who betrayed Imre Nagy to the Russians, comes to be seen in 1986 as a mellow senior statesman of Central Europe, wily in the ways of personal and national survival.

The 1956 revolution left Americans humbled by the courage of the Hungarian people, and guilt-stricken for having casually uttered words that were taken by Hungarians as a sign of Western deliverance to come, but that came to nothing. In the 30 years since, the United States has been careful to fit its encouragement of East European self-expression to the reality of Soviet dominance in the region. The lesson of the revolution was that for their preservation and progress, the peoples of the Soviet bloc must rely first of all on themselves — on hope and on pressure and on discretion too.

UnAmerican Activity

THE U.S. government has nabbed another foreign journalist, locked her up for nearly a week, and then sent her packing. The government did not have to explain why it did this, except to state vaguely that the journalist was seized and expelled under provisions of immigration law that exclude aliens suspected of subversive, communist or terrorist activities.

Patricia Lara of the Bogota newspaper *El Tiempo* was detained Oct. 13 at Kennedy Airport in New York. She was coming to attend an award ceremony at the Columbia University School of Journalism, of which she is a graduate. It turned out that her name was in the Immigration and Naturalization Service's "lookout book" of aliens who are regarded as a danger by someone or other for some reason or other. That reason might be reasonable or ridiculous, but it is, in any event, as an INS spokesman put it, "classified and vital to national security," so don't even ask about it. Miss Lara had obtained a visa last year in Paris, apparently because someone neglected to look in the lookout book.

So she was detained until the INS decided to deport her. "Detained" is a polite word for being held against one's will. When she talked to reporters early in the week, she was wearing the yellow jumpsuit issued to people in such circumstances. By Thursday, according to her attorney, she was in blue prisoner's uniform, having been transferred to a maximum-security prison. On Friday she was reported to Colombia.

The government's mandate for such arbitrary acts comes from the 1952 McCarran-Walter Act. Recently a Belgian journalist returning to the United States, where he had worked for six years, was detained because officials did not like the looks of some leftist literature in his suitcase. That is the sort of thing that happens under this law. We remarked at that time that it is unworthy of a free country, and a national embarrassment. It is also arrogant.

The Colombian Embassy protested the denial of consular access to Miss Lara and asked that she be released to the ambassador's custody until her deportation. The request was denied. Americans would not like it if their countrymen were subjected to this sort of treatment abroad without even an explanation. Americans should seek changes in a law that allows their government to inflict such treatment on others.

'Comrades' Disrupt Classes

JOHANNESBURG — The crisis in black education in South Africa deepened last week as radical youths burst into classrooms on the first day of high school final examinations in the black township of Soweto and attacked students with whips, knives and iron bars and tore up their exam papers.

Several centers were attacked and an undetermined number of students were whipped by the radicals, according to community leaders and black South African reporters who were in Soweto. The radicals, who are commonly referred as the Comrades, are attempting to enforce a nationwide

By William Claiborne

school boycott. The state Bureau of Information, the only authorized source of information about unrest and security operations, confirmed that youths "intimidated" pupils and tore up their examination books.

At the same time, PACE Commercial College in Soweto, an elite school founded seven years ago by American businessmen here, announced it was suspending its operations because of the educational crisis and severe financial strains. A spokesman for the American Chamber of Commerce, which runs the college, said that

PACE will remain closed "until such time as the community can come up with a future direction of the college."

The developments came as at least 80,000 students boycotted classes in schools in the black townships of Soweto and Alexandra, both on the fringes of Johannesburg; in the outlying Vaal Triangle industrial area, and the eastern Cape Province. The students are protesting new government moves to prevent political unrest at the schools, including the deployment of troops at some schools and the issuance of mandatory identification badges to thwart outside agitators.



A Law Fit For A Police State

By Haynes Johnson

Someone must have been telling lies about Joseph K., for without having done anything wrong he was arrested one fine morning. — Franz Kafka, "The Trial"

I DO not know whether that fictional scene from a novel written decades ago bears any resemblance to the factual case of a Colombian reporter who arrived in the United States last month and suddenly found herself in jail. I do know that everything about this case is reminiscent of a tale by Kafka.

It would appear to be classically un-American, too, except that it is not. It is all perfectly legal, and that is why this incident is so troubling.

Patricia Lara entered the United States with a legitimate passport and visa, then was seized, jailed and finally deported without being told the specific nature of her supposed offense. Whether lies were told about her or whether valid grounds existed for jailing and deporting her is unknown. The government will say only that "the information on which the expulsion was made is classified."

Miss Lara, an American lawyer, her country's ambassador, her friends and colleagues at the Columbia University Graduate School of Journalism whose invitation to an honors convocation she had accepted, have attempted to determine the facts. All have failed.

"We tried everything we could to

secure her release," said Frederick Yu, acting dean of the journalism school, from which Miss Lara graduated in 1980. But no official explanation was forthcoming.

For reasons unknown, Miss Lara's name had been placed on an Immigration and Naturalization Service "lookout book," a catalogue of criminals and potentially dangerous or subversive persons.

She has written critically about Reagan administration policies in Central America, reported from Fidel Castro's Cuba and written a best-seller in Colombia about guerrilla movements there. Whether these played a part in what happened to her is uncertain.

The government will acknowledge only that Miss Lara was held and then deported under the authority of two broadly worded sections of the McCarran-Walter Act, passed 34 years ago during the height of McCarthy-era hysteria.

That law is an open invitation to police-state abuses of power made infamous in the past by writers

such as Kafka and demonstrated anew by recent and current behavior in the Soviet Union. It ought to be changed.

Representative Barney Frank, Democrat of Massachusetts, one of the leading congressional proponents of revising the McCarran-Walter Act, calls the law "an outrage." He adds:

"I went to Russia with Yelena Bonner, and I remember standing there in a customs line getting frisked by a bunch of goons and thinking, thank God I don't live in a place like this. The law is a horror in several ways. It gives them authority to exclude people for ideological reasons, and it's the most arbitrary grant of power. It's also probably the worst written law in the world."

The McCarran-Walter law makes it impossible to know whether an individual has suffered a grave wrong or whether the government has acted properly to safeguard the nation's security. That is Kafkaesque and unworthy of a democratic society.

Contras To Train In U.S.

By George C. Wilson

THE Reagan administration has tentatively decided to train Nicaraguan contra troops in the United States after Costa Rica, El Salvador and Honduras objected to use of their territory, according to U.S. officials.

The Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps have been directed to make detailed recommendations for suitable training sites in the United States, sources said. They have been told the sites must be remote to minimize adverse publicity and citizen opposition.

Although Fort Benning in Columbus, Ga., has been used to train Salvadoran unit leaders and would be ideal in many respects, military sources said this site has too high a public profile. Air Force bases away from population centers are the leading candidates, officials said, although they would not specify which one is now at the top of the list.

The administration tried to persuade several Latin American governments to allow use of their territory, officials said, but ran into opposition. Governments did not want to invite retaliation from

the Sandinista government of Nicaragua. As U.S. military leaders concentrate on U.S. training sites, Gen. John R. Galvin, head of the Southern Command in Panama, which commands U.S. forces that move in and out of Latin America, is drafting a detailed military plan for making the best use of the \$100 million in military and economic aid that Congress recently approved for the contras.

President Reagan signed the executive order on Friday that opened the way for the new flow of money to the 20,000 contras in Nicaragua. Under congressional restrictions, \$70 million can go for military hardware, \$27 million for medical supplies, food, and other nonlethal aid, and \$3 million for monitoring human-rights conditions.

The Communist Killers Whose Minds Were Pure

WHEN THE WAR WAS OVER: The Voices of Cambodia's Revolution and Its People. By Elizabeth Becker. Simon and Schuster. 602pp. \$19.95.

OUR century has seen an abundance of evil. About Hitler's Holocaust we know a great deal; about Stalin's mass purges and the Soviet gulag we know considerably less; about the genocide the Khmer Rouge under Pol Pot wreaked on Cambodia a decade ago we know almost nothing.

After they came to power in 1975 the Communist Khmer Rouge set out to transform Cambodian society: The cities were emptied, families broken up, perhaps as many as two million of the country's seven million people were killed. The Khmer Rouge hid this horror from the rest of the world. When the Vietnamese occupied Cambodia in 1979, they opened the door slightly, but only if it served their purposes. And what little emerged seemed motiveless and incredible.

When *The War Was Over*, by Elizabeth Becker, a former reporter for *The Washington Post*, tells what happened, and why, Becker was in Cambodia for all three acts of its modern tragedy. She covered the war that led to the Khmer Rouge victory, she was one of two Western journalists allowed in to report on the Khmer Rouge regime and its leaders, and she returned to Cambodia after the Vietnamese drove out the Khmer Rouge. Her book is an impressive feat of scholarship and reporting: intelligent, measured, resourceful, and — I do not say this lightly — courageous.

Its pages are filled with Cambodians, from peasants to bankers, from the leaders and functionaries of the Khmer Rouge to its few surviving victims. Becker knows how the Khmer Rouge "paradise" looked, felt, smelled — a land where workers could not rest, children could not play, faces "could not smile" and the innocent could not survive. And she saw the horror firsthand: Only a few hours after she interviewed Pol Pot, one of her two companions was brutally murdered in the room next to hers, on order of the Khmer Rouge.

When the magnitude of the genocide in Cambodia first began to be revealed, the blame fell on external forces: the legacy of the French colonial system, the American bombing campaign during the Vietnam war, and the historic determination of the Vietnamese to dominate Cambodia. Becker explores each of these possibilities carefully, and is particularly effective in tracing the modern history of Vietnam after the fall of Saigon. She concludes that these

external forces, as powerful as they were, did not cause the horror.

"While the United States and Vietnam do share responsibility for much of Cambodia's sorrows, ultimately Cambodians were the victims of their own leaders and their own traditions and history... It was no accident that the Khmer Rouge chose the most radical of communist models... They were the heirs of the worst in Cambodia's past."

If Becker is right, then it would be easy to wash our hands of the Khmer Rouge as a peculiarly Cambodian aberration. In fact, as her own excellent research shows, the Khmer Rouge grafted on to Cambodia's history the same fashionable left ideas about revolution and violence that were current in American campuses in the 1960s.

By William Broyles Jr.

But in Cambodia the slogans about the cleansing effect of revolutionary violence made popular by Franz Fanon and Herbert Marcuse weren't just words in a classroom; they made legitimate the massacre of men, women and children in the name of the future.

The romantic ideas of the West played a crucial role in making the Khmer Rouge such a powerful engine for killing. Pol Pot and Ieng Sary, the principal leaders of the Khmer Rouge, were students together in Paris after World War II. They married sisters; infused with the Marxist ideology of the Paris cafes and garrets, they determined to transform Cambodia.

Becker lets us almost taste these young Cambodians' intoxication with ideas, the intellectual passion of correcting the mistakes of the past, sweeping away illusion, building a perfect world. It is all strangely like the accounts of Hitler developing his mad vision in the beer halls of Munich.

Again and again in their revolution the image of purifying appears. A Chinese diplomat who served in Phnom Penh told Becker, "My strongest impression was how perfectly they kept the city. It was absolutely clean all the time." Becker herself noticed that the Khmer Rouge leaders "had an odd habit of speaking so softly in conversation that they could barely be heard... They were always as clean and manicured as the situation allowed." Pol Pot exhorted his followers to build a "clean, pure" revolution. Even the torturers in the central prison at Tuol Seng were instructed that when they finished their work "both the calligraphy for the confession and the paper must be clean."

Perhaps the most civilized idea ever developed in the West — and one no tyrant can abide — is that the individual has

rights which limit the power of the state. But no individual could be more important than the Communist Party; it is the engine of history. Pol Pot and the Khmer Rouge embraced that idea with religious fervor: if one individual must be sacrificed for the good of the party, why not a hundred thousand, why not a million? There is no end.

Since it has no legitimacy other than its own power, such a regime must always defend itself from "enemies." First the Khmer Rouge set out to destroy the class enemies of the revolution, by which they meant anyone who could read, wore glasses, had a profession, owned a house or a plot of land — or who simply got in the way. Then, two years later, the flame turned on the

party itself: "traitors" were everywhere. The Khmer Rouge leader who had forced the "confession" by which such executions were made legitimate were forced to "confess" themselves.

The whole process is not new; it is exactly that of the Stalin show trials documented so well in Arthur Koestler's *Darkness at Noon*. The condemned go to their death praising the party and mouthing the slogans that kill them. The language they speak is chilling. Suddenly, a Khmer Rouge official no longer has an "acceptable biography": a "life struggle" session is no longer "sufficient." He has become "contemptible": to kill him "glorifies" the party. By 1977, Becker writes, the secret police headquarters at Tuol Seng was "killing more officials of the communist regime than of the former society."

Reading Becker's book one is awestruck at the power of the mind over reality — as when, in the midst of ordering the deaths of millions of people, the Khmer Rouge commands the population to double in two decades. A delegation from the American Communist Party visited the new paradise, and expressed great enthusiasm for the "successes" of the revolution. Their spokesman, Dan Burstein — his name should not go unmentioned — insisted that "the genocide myth is being fabricated." He and the Khmer Rouge spoke the same language.

What is most terrifying is the equanimity of the Khmer Rouge as they went about their work. Pol Pot is no Hitler screaming and pounding the table in fits of rage, or a Stalin angry and paranoid. He is well-read, educated, and he sits quietly, his voice soft, his face calm, unmoved by the winds of death blowing around him. His mind is at peace. Clean. Pure. The future is with him.

Thanks to Becker the horror such men can create is no longer a mystery. Her book lacks the literary power of Solzhenitsyn's Gulag volumes and the psychological insight of Alan Bullock's *Hitler: A Study of Tyranny*, but it ranks with those books in unveiling the workings of a whole system of evil and the people who conceived and operated it. Her task is the more impressive since the Nazis grew out of a culture and a civilization we knew and built their mutant from familiar folklore, music, and philosophy. The Khmer Rouge have always seemed alien, different, unknowable.

No longer. And what Becker shows us is that they too grew out of the civilized world of the West, and that their evil was closer to us than we could ever have believed.

That her book is so important makes its occasional lapses at once excusable and irritating. Becker has a habit of telling the reader how to think. One Cambodian survivor, Komphol, can't have a simple notion without Becker telling us he "thought" or "mistakenly thought" this or that. We never know who is telling his story: is it him, Becker, or Becker's idea of him? and are the thoughts and descriptions his or hers? Instead of letting Komphol and her other Cambodian witnesses tell us directly of the confusion, the pain, the shock, and the horror, Becker tends to herd the reader along, and the emotions are muffled.

And at times Becker is done in by the sheer enormity of her tale. Words literally fail her. What good is it to label Pol Pot's experiment "disastrous" or his attempt to return "obscurer"? Of course they were, but they were far, far worse, as Becker's otherwise restrained and telling narrative indelicately shows us. The adjectives she uses to convey moral outrage diminish, rather than illumine, what happened. Cutting a few dozen of them would have strengthened the book.

It would also have prevented such full stops as when she writes that "all three countries — Vietnam, Cambodia and China — had suffered from American treachery and aggression." China? American "treachery" and "aggression"? Perhaps in her mind the words carry a clear meaning; the reader is simply baffled. What on earth is she talking about?

But these are a reviewer's small quibbles. When *The War Was Over* burns with its own fire, the fire of a dedicated writer who witnessed the incomprehensible and worked long and hard to comprehend it. It is indispensable for understanding our times and the noble and terrible sides of modern man. It is a powerful and important book.

Philip Geyelin

The Great Days Of American Statesmanship

TO HAVE been young and working in Washington as a newspaper reporter in the post-war years was, as Hemingway once said of Paris, a "movable feast."

The sense of having borne witness to a golden age in the conduct of American national security affairs stay with you over the years.

It conditions what you think of everything and every public figure that follows. It establishes a standard against which poorer policies and lesser policy-makers are measured and almost invariably found sadly wanting — so much so that you begin to wonder whether nostalgia isn't playing you false.

Isn't, alas. You can chalk it up to whatever you like: a collapse of public confidence in institutions; the cheapening of statecraft and statesmen by the information revolution; weariness or wariness (the Vietnam syndrome); an inward-turning preoccupation with material things and private gains.

But you cannot escape the clear message of a new book, *The Wise Men: Six Friends and the World They Made*. Something of great value, something too complex to be captured in catchwords like honor, duty, country, has somehow leached out of the traditional planting grounds of national security policy.

Those of us of a certain age will find in this book a richly anecdotal trip down memory lane. Younger

people who may think that the contemporary conduct of international affairs is more or less the way it has always been done will find themselves on a monumental voyage of discovery — monumental in its scope and concept, and also in its ambition.

That the reach of *The Wise Men* exceeds its grasp is forgivable. In the way that co-authors Walter Isaacson and Evan Thomas have chosen to tell their story, they have almost necessarily bitten off more than they can chew.

What they have bitten off is really six biographies, each one of which could have been the subject of a useful volume. Instead, the lives of Dean Acheson, Averell Harriman, Robert Lovett, John McCloy, Charles Bohlen and George Kennan have been interwoven, too often artificially and in a way that tends to trivialize the central point about "the world they made" with their concept of "Communist containment," the Truman doctrine, and the Marshall Plan ("their purest and greatest achievement").

The labels and the stereotypes attached to them (by League, Wall Street, the Establishment, secret societies, at college and exclusive clubs in later life, inherited wealth) link one to another or perhaps apply to several, but can by no means be stretched to fit all six. And so a few too many words

are wasted on what strike me as superficial linkages.

Mr. Harriman and Mr. Lovett, for example, were cut, in a sense, from the same cloth. But Mr. McCloy was a poor boy from Philadelphia, and Mr. Kennan "an insecure boy from Milwaukee." Mr. Bohlen was born with "social graces" and Mr. Acheson was the son of an Episcopalian bishop. That they all wound up as card-carrying members of the postwar policy-making establishment, along with many others (George Marshall, James Forrestal, Paul Nitze or Llewellyn Thompson might as easily have been chosen), was due less to some common denominator of privilege, elitism or intellect than to a shared sense of what public service is all about.

There lies the central point. It is not that these two bankers, two lawyers and two foreign-service career officers and Soviet specialists "did not have to worry too much about the daily chore of child care, or about their wives' careers or about paying the mortgage," although that, as the authors note, was their good fortune. It was that while they relished government service, they did not "crave it merely to possess it."

Mr. Lovett and Mr. McCloy probably turned down more public offices than they accepted. Mr. Harriman was on Harry Truman's short list of two, before losing out to

Dean Acheson for secretary of state, yet he loyally accepted the lesser post of White House national security adviser when Mr. Truman asked him to "help Dean, he's in trouble."

In contrast to the grim careerists who now populate the official bureaucracy, or the grasping opportunists who value a sub-cabinet post primarily as a springboard to a lucrative job with a government contractor, the authors note "the amateurs of the old postwar Establishment actually seemed to enjoy their work."

In their painstaking excavation of postwar policy-making, the authors have turned up some other distinguishing common characteristics of the "wise men." As pragmatists "they were not primarily worried about Marxism or Communist ideology," Messrs. Isaacson and Thomas argue. "What they sought to contain was the spread of Russian domination. They would likely have reacted the same way if the xenophobic and expansionist empire at issue was still czarist rather than Bolshevik."

Making no neat distinctions between one or another form of oppression, they shared "an abhorrence of the imposition of totalitarian systems on people yearning to live freely." Yet their "businessman" approach to the Soviet Union as a "competitor" inclined them to seek a "realistic modus vivendi,"

to recognize "spheres of influence."

The authors freely concede that history's judgement on the "wise men" will be mixed. In their zeal to build a consensus for a grand new concept of America's role in the world, a case can be made that they "left a costly legacy for successors who were neither as pragmatic nor as flexible when it came to balancing commitments with resources" — that "the men of the establishment sowed the seeds of both the Vietnam War and, ultimately, their own undoing."

The interweaving of these six remarkable lives may not have produced a seamless web; it is more of a patchwork quilt. But the design and the patterns are plain to see: The six shared the loyalty not to presidents but to the presidency; the sense of themselves "not as public figures but as public servants"; the selflessness in times of crisis.

The author's conclusion: "There certainly does not now exist, and may never again, a breed of statesmen with the same synergism, the talent to work together in a way that transcends their contribution as individuals." If you care about the conduct of American national security affairs, look about you as you read this book and weep.

©1986 The Washington Post Co. All rights reserved.

2%
BONUS OFFER
UNTIL
2nd DECEMBER '86

Two exciting new investment opportunities from Perpetual.

On the 8th November 1986 Perpetual are adding two new, Jersey based offshore unit trusts to their very successful range of funds.

The Perpetual Offshore Far Eastern Growth Fund

Led by Japan's massive and advanced economy the Far East offers exceptional investment opportunity with most of the region's economies undergoing substantial and rapid structural changes.

Over the last ten years less developed countries have seen a shift from agriculture into manufacturing, while the more industrialised nations have changed emphasis from lower to higher value products.

The region's development has been supported by levels of capital investment far above those of the developed Western world.




and it is government policy in many Far Eastern countries to encourage the growth of stock markets as efficient channels for local savings and international capital into national development.

The Perpetual Offshore European Growth Fund

The smaller stock markets of continental Europe have enjoyed considerable support over recent years and, spurred on by increasing domestic interest, are developing rapidly in an environment of economic success.

The Fund will include the 2,400 plus public companies of the U.K. in its investment horizons increasing the number of companies in which the Managers can invest by 80%.




Europe, including the U.K., is experiencing a new economic era of steady low-inflationary growth which we believe will provide rewarding longer term investment results.

An Established Record of Success

In the U.K., Perpetual were voted 'Unit Trust Managers of the Year' for 1985 by both the Observer and Money Magazine and 'Smaller Unit Trust Group for 1984' by the Sunday Telegraph, having maintained a consistent pattern of investment success with increases in unit values for each Perpetual Fund for every year of their respective histories.

The first Perpetual Fund, the International Growth Fund has been the U.K.'s top performing authorised unit trust since its launch on the 11th September 1974 to 30th September 1986.



The Offshore International Growth Fund **UP 161.5%**
since launch on 23rd January 1983

The Offshore Emerging Companies Fund **UP 74.6%**
since launch on 21st April 1984

The Offshore American Growth Fund **UP 91.3%**
since launch on 8th April 1985

N.B. All figures are as at 30th September 1986, on an offer to offer basis and include net reinvested income.

Please send me details (on the terms of which alone applications will be considered). Please tick box.
☐ Offshore Far Eastern Growth Fund.
☐ Offshore European Growth Fund
To: Perpetual Unit Trust Management (Jersey) Limited, PO Box 459, Norwich Union House, Church Street, St. Helier, Jersey, Channel Islands.

SURNAME _____
(Mr/Ms/Miss)
ADDRESS _____

Perpetual

A modicum of morality

CINEMA by Derek Malcolm

ROLAND JOFFE'S *The Mission* won the grand prize at Cannes. But the film seems less of a cultural or political lesson than a simple morality play. Robert Bolt wrote it, and it clearly seeks to combine the kind of arguments which sustained *A Man For All Seasons* with the cinematic bravura of something like *Apocalypse Now*.

The protagonists in this mid-18th century Latin American power struggle are clearly defined and, compared to its spectacular backdrop, inevitably a little one-dimensional. They are a former slave-trader and mercenary whose crisis of conscience turns him into a Jesuit (Mendoza: Robert De Niro), the gentle priest who has befriended and converted him (Gabriel: Jeremy Irons) and the papal nuncio sent to adjudicate between the Spanish and Portuguese colonists and the Jesuits, whose missions for the Indians are bitterly resented (Altamirano: Ray McAnally).

Under a treaty which readjusts colonial territories, the missions pass from the jurisdiction of Spain to that of Portugal, and the nuncio, opposed by both priests, reluctantly decides that it is in the Order's best long-term interests to surrender the missions to the slave-



Indians on the attack in *The Mission*

trading Portuguese. The other central characters are, of course, the Guarani Indians, who under the leadership of Mendoza, defend their mission to the death.

Joffe's film is a liberal and humanist appraisal — part history and part fiction — of this central situation. This, as David Puttnam, the producer, has said, is not an art film but an expensive epic designed to be seen by millions of ordinary film-goers.

And it isn't the first time that liberties have been taken in the effort to extract from history the

kind of themes and personal conflict that can be easily grasped by the maximum number of people, while still illuminating some kind of central truth. The question is whether or not *The Mission* succeeds on its simplified level. My own view is ambivalent.

The film is really two in one — the first presents Mendoza's hard-won conversion, and the second details the dilemma both priests face when the nuncio arrives and eventually pronounces. Less time spent on the first might have made the second stronger.

Even so, Joffe's work has a force and commitment about it that renders most other epics of the year pale by comparison, including *Out of Africa*. There also seems no question that the film is superbly shot by Chris Monger, who is certainly one of the finest cinematographers in the world.

The acting is more problematic. Do Niro looks fundamentally ill at ease away from his urban base, though still producing a performance of considerable power, while Irons has intensity and skill but less of that commodity. The

one obvious triumph is that of McAnally as Altamirano, the man whose decision is so tragically inevitable.

The Mission, like most of Puttnam's films, tries to be all things to all men and that may be its central flaw. But it still goes further than most epics to illustrate a general truth about exploitation down the centuries. It is emphatically not history à la Cecil B. DeMille, and it has to be said that of all the films of its scale this year it can be accounted easily the best.

In the bazaar

By Martin Walker in Moscow

WE WERE serenaded by a military brass band as we went shopping the other day in one of the temporary village bazaars that have started to spring up in Moscow squares. We were unlucky — had we got there an hour earlier, we could have watched some acrobats and street theatre.

This was not one of the free markets where the peasants sell produce from their private plots at high prices. This was something new, a collection of gaily painted stalls, some designed in wig-wam shape, and some like the old Russian huts, selling fruit and vegetables at the controlled state prices.

This summer and autumn, there have been few shortages. And because these new bazaars are made up of a number of stalls, there is no single long queue of the kind that makes the state shops so depressing.

Something rather dramatic has evidently happened to the distribution system. This summer, you could buy tomatoes in the state shops and in the new bazaars at 30 kopeks (30 pence). In previous years, they were 60 kopeks — when you could find them — or you went and paid by the rouble at the free market.

Aubergines are down to 50 kopeks a kilo. You can find watermelons and plump grapes, and even the homely onions and garlic seem to have taken a quantum leap in quality.

As well as these fruit and vegetable bazaars, the state suppliers have started to sell fresh produce from the backs of lorries parked just outside the free markets, and undercutting them in price. These trucks, with their number plates from Baku, Georgia, and the deep south, are coming directly from the collective farms to the Moscow consumer.

This is new, and so are the advertisements in the Moscow papers that say "Attention housewives" and listing where the lorries will be, what they will sell, and at what price.

The takings at the free market have been falling sharply and the prices have started to come down too, except for items like parsley,

radishes, greens and fresh pickles where the state system has not yet begun to compete.

I remember writing at the time of the party congress last March that we should see this summer whether the Gorbachev reforms to let the collective farmers sell their surplus produce were beginning to work. In Moscow, at least, the signs are more than promising.

But it took more than just this permission to make the system work. In Moscow, it took a series of measures by the energetic new party chieftain, Boris Yeltsin. First, there was the massive corruption trial of most of the hierarchy of the city's trading department. Then he called in the new managers, harangued them about full warehouses while the shops were empty. And told them to shift the goods or he would bring down the whole of the party committee to help them load the lorries.

Then he ordered the construction of these village bazaars, and insisted that they look attractive to the consumers. Hence the gay colours and the stages for street theatre. And he told them to challenge the stiff prices of the free markets by undercutting them at the market gates.


Now all of this may prove to be short-lived, and this winter we may get back to grim normality. And I have yet to learn whether this initiative is being repeated in other cities, but at least this year it has made Moscow a more pleasant place to live in.

But it has not stopped the grumbles. Another innovation is a series of new cooperative shops, known as the commercial stores, where high-quality foodstuffs can be bought for prices that are set midway between the subsidised state shops and the free markets.

What this means in effect is that the price of *kobasa*, or good meat sausage, has nearly doubled to 11 roubles. You cannot find real *kobasa* in the state stores, only in the commercial places.

But in Moscow, still pleasantly surprised by seeing the state show a flair for retailing, the Gorbachev reforms are starting to pay off.

Ermitage MANAGEMENT LIMITED



ROYAL SQUARE, ST. HELIER, JERSEY

FUNDS WITH NO INITIAL CHARGES

Ermitage is a Jersey based fund management group offering a wide range of services, including:

- a variety of international unit trusts and funds with NO INITIAL CHARGES or front-end loads. Bid/offer spreads range from NIL to 1%.
- offshore trustee and nominee services,
- formation and administration of Jersey companies,
- management and administration of investment portfolios.

Please send me further details of the Ermitage services

Name _____
Address _____

Post coupon to:

Ermitage Management Ltd.,
19 Royal Square, St. Helier, Jersey.
Telephone +44 534 76007
Telex 4192135
Fax +44 534 79151



Venus and Mercury by Poussin

Language of the heart

By Waldemar Januszczak

IS Nicolas Poussin in or out of fashion? A silly question, I know, but one always worth asking for the light it throws on contemporary society. Favourite artists inevitably reflect a society's ideals back on itself.

And Poussin's popularity has gone up and down like a wine-goblet at one of his own Bacchanals. His contemporaries were the first to see him as a model of single-mindedness, the famous "peintre philosophe" in whom reason triumphed over emotion. The great Bernini himself is said to have tapped his forehead and muttered: "Signor Poussin is a painter who works up here."

When David and the Neo-Classicalists sent the frivolous rococo age to the aesthetic guillotine it was the supreme intellectual classicist, Poussin, who became their mentor. "His imagination was never misled by chance ideas," explained an early biographer, Gault de Saint-Germain in 1808.

When Cézanne wanted to turn flighty, sensuous Impressionism into "an art of the museums" he turned naturally to Poussin for guidance. "I want to do Poussin over again from nature," insisted the sage of Aix.

This then is the image Poussin has been saddled with from the beginning, the learned, purposeful, intelligent classicist, with the unmentioned coda that he was not perhaps the most naturally gifted of artists and that sheer determination brought him greatness.

(There is I think a fascinating irony about the fact that the world's greatest Poussinologist should have been Anthony Blunt. No other indisputably great painter encourages so much self-control in the writer. No other indisputably great painter can be written about with so little involvement and emotion.)

But finally, to answer my first question, no I do not think that Nicolas Poussin is currently in fashion. He sits there in the middle of the 17th century like some crusty old schoolmaster who has

sists that his pupils study Aristotle and read Ovid. The Roy Strong generation has no room in its frilly boudoir for an artist who stands for that much self-discipline. Poussin is clearly another art-historical invention, re-invented every time there is a puritan backlash. That point is made in a small Poussin exhibition at London's most perfect art gallery, Dulwich.

The gallery has long owned a fragment of a largish Poussin showing Venus and Mercury with two squabbling putti at their feet. The Louvre has long owned a fragment showing an informal music party enjoyed by five more naked bambini. It has long been recognised that the two fragments belong together and Dulwich has mounted them side by side for comparison, completion and fruitless discussion as to why they were separated in the first place (the answer surely is for profit, a pair of Poussins being worth more than one).

The two parts of the same Poussin appear in the first of the gallery's Painting in Context exhibitions, surrounded by explanatory material, technical reports and, most importantly of all, other Poussins, notably from Dulwich's own splendid selection. A drawing of the Louvre fragment by Cézanne is reproduced in the cata-



Poussin by Poussin

logue but unfortunately not included here.

Tearing our eyes away from Dulwich's other, superior Poussins, to examine the scruffy, divided Venus and Mercury we soon see a painting of real sensuality. A naked Venus (given a prudish figleaf in one of the adjacent prints of the subject) stretches out under a tree and tickles her cheek with some roses.

Mercury possesses a typically creamy baroque body which, judging by the prints and copies in the show, seems to have lost some muscle-definition between 1626 and now. The flabby Mercury points to the two putti, Eros and Anteros, wrestling on the ground, one representing earthly pleasures the other the virtuous pursuit of beauty and the arts. Virtue is winning, and the attendant bambini in the Louvre fragment are here to crown him victor. To appeal directly to modern tastes the battle would, of course, have had to go the other way.

But the real winner in both these pictures is a soft, poetic, pleasurable mood which Poussin transcribed from the Venetians and which marks him down from the start as a dreamer. The severity of his later work is not even hinted at. Poussin's intellect may have willed Anteros to win in the end but the way he selects Venus's golden flesh tones, the wonderful still-life details of musical instruments, the secretive landscape show him listening for the moment to his heart.

On this evidence alone Poussin can never have been guilty of that tough, intellectual, classical precision which has stood for so long between him and mere mortals. Nevertheless when puritan tastes re-assert themselves, as they surely must soon, I expect the false image of Poussin to be press-ganged into service on the side of the Roundheads just as the false image of Caravaggio is currently being employed by our Cavaliers.

• Poussin in Context, Dulwich Picture Gallery until January.

Perfect recall

By Tim Radford

BETWEEN THE WOODS AND THE WATER, by Patrick Leigh Fermor (John Murray, £13.95).

IN 1977 we left our hero on a bridge over the Danube, between Slovakia and Hungary. He was just 19. The year was 1934. The narrative was an account of a journey — on foot and on about £1 a week — from London through the Low Countries, Nazi Germany, and Austria towards Constantinople. The hero-narrator was Leigh Fermor himself, and the book was *A Time of Gifts*.

Forty-three years seems a long time to wait for a journey's chronicle; nine years seems an even longer time to wait for the second instalment, especially of a masterpiece. In this latest book Leigh Fermor steps off the bridge into the town of Esztergom and into a different rhythm.

Then it had been hard walking, some hunger, some brownshirts and dark winter; now begins the journey and periods of long and golden stasis. He steps from the bridge with an introduction to the Mayor of Esztergom and finds himself on Holy Saturday made welcome by a gorgeously dressed man with a monocle and scimitar who enfolds the young, untidy tramp in the scarlet pews in the Cathedral. "I kept wondering if all Hungary was to be like this."

It was, and Romania too. He sticks to his determination to walk, rather than to accept lifts, but he is in the hands of the Hungarians, who treat him with instant, affectionate generosity, who hand him from Schloss to manor house, who pour him Tokay into cut glass goblets, who provide him with horses, who introduce him to girls, who gleefully connive in his feeble love affair with a young married woman, who lend him formal clothes, who feed and entertain and educate him — often in English.

Thus he arrives at a great house, to be welcomed by a count with the question: "Have you ever played bike polo?" He stays with a scholar who breeds wheat, and with an entomologist whose second sentence is "Come and sit ye down." (This last refers to an invalid mother as "not feeling quite the thing.")

He reveals to the music of cembalom and bone flute and frolics to gramophone records of Every Little Breeze Seems To Whisper Louise, he plays skittles in Latin with a Franciscan monk, he eats smoked pork with Magyar swineherds, and cornmeal porridge with Transylvanian shepherds whom he first hears swearing the oath "Mama Draclului" — mother of the devil — and a rabbi in a logging camp sings him *Tell It Not In Gath* in Hebrew. He shares a pot with the Gypsies, "beautiful girls founced and bedraggled in green and yellow and magenta."

At the close of the book, at the Iron Gates, on an island which was Turkish terrain until 1878, he takes coffee and rose petal jam with his first Muslims. "Raven-fed, like Elia," he says "I was no longer surprised but I never stopped rejoicing."

Nor did he stop learning. Through the pages ride the Mongols, the Krim and Bessarab Tatars, the Cephids, Huns, Vandals, Avars and Magyars, the Swabians and the Saxons who to this day live in the Carpathians and speak Old German. There is Vlad the Impaler, Suleiman the Magnificent, the figures of Romance — the fiendish monomelic swordsmen, the mad noblemen, the boyars, hospodars, kings, voivodes and cardinals, all the paladins of the West — together with water buffaloes, mules and

bears, and even the elephant that in 820 AD, Harun al-Rashid sent overland as a gift to Charlemagne.

Above all, there are the places, their excitement sparingly but marvellously distilled by the memory; the cathedral-like forests of Transylvania, the towns like settings for 19th century melodrama, the mountains, the Danube, and the plain, where he accepts a glass of milk from a woman and her daughter and "I slipped it slowly and thought: 'I'm drinking this glass of milk on a chestnut horse on the Great Hungarian Plain.'"

In the course of this instalment, Leigh Fermor occasionally apologises for gaps, for people and sequences forgotten, and refers to journals lost and rediscovered, and to anticipate suggestions that he may be providing what the memory can no longer surrender.



Patrick Leigh-Fermor

No such suggestion is made here. This is a book of Perfect Recall. It recalls perfectly what it is like to be young, when it is forever summer, when he has stumbled upon sublime things for the first time. "The charms of this place and its inhabitants," he says at one point, "sound unreal and improbably perfect. I am aware of this but I can only set it down as it struck me."

There are of course two narrators at work, just as there were in *The Travels of Marco Polo*, which were told by Marco Polo himself to one Rustichello, who wrote the narrative along with Crusader tales. Here too, the old scholar burnishes the memories of the young remembrance so that the story of a walk across Europe is accompanied by the song of all Christendom.

Not for nothing does he keep calling his destination not Istanbul nor even Stambul but Constantinople or occasionally Byzantium. He is treading not just the topography of a continent but also the contours of a continent, for instance the Turks "commemorated here and there by a minaret left in their lost possessions like a spear stuck in the ground" and remarking that in Romania "Genghis Khan's hordes 'destroyed everything that was destructible, and incidentally wiped out every fragment of historical evidence from the previous thousand years as well.'"

Hitler is mentioned, and casts a cold shadow, but only for a paragraph or two. Our hero is, after all, 19, and having the time of his life. And so, in his company, are we. Meanwhile, I can diffidently confirm that Transylvania is just as beautiful as he describes it and that this book is as indescribably rich and beautiful as the first. And that there is another episode to come.

Booker Prize goes to Kingsley Amis

By W. L. Webb

SO THE old devil made it after all: Kingsley Amis has won this year's £15,000 Booker Prize for fiction with his sixteenth novel, *The Old Devils*.

The word from the judges' room was that it got down to a close-run thing between Amis's novel and What's Bred in the Bone, by Robertson Davies, one of the two Canadians in this year's shortlist.

But in the end Amis's hilarious comedy about the pains and perils of growing old — a tale for which the expression "painfully funny" might have been fresh minted — upset the usual Booker form, in which Ledbrooke's favourites so rarely win.

It is a choice which increases one's respect for this panel of judges, Anthony Thwaite, Edna Healey, Isabel Quigly, Gillian Reynolds and Bernice Rubens. This shortlist was one of the best I can remember: any one of their other novels, by Margaret Atwood, Paul Bailey, Kazuo Ishiguro, and Timothy Mo, would have been a respectable winner in most years.

Even better, this predominantly-female jury didn't let the quite bitter misogyny of some of Amis's later novels prevent them from seeing that *The Old Devils* was not



Kingsley Amis

just a brilliant comeback by an author who hasn't exactly been the glass of fashion for some years now, but is simply the best of these six good books.

The sourness of things in Jake's Thing and Stanley And The Women has gone; even the rantings against The New in most of its forms, and all "the nauseous fruits of affluence" comes out here as great comic cadenzas of well-directed abuse and self-mockery.

(There's a particularly fine fugue on The Rape of the Pub.)

"Alun's life," one of his old devils reflects, "was coming to consist more and more exclusively of being told at dictation speed what he already knew." That's the tone of this good novel which shows you how to grow old not gracefully but in a kind of rueful good order. At the prize-giving Mr Amis said: "I used to say to myself and others that the Booker Prize was a bit artificial, but I have changed my mind in the last 15 minutes. Now I feel it is a wonderful indication of literary merit."

Warm Wales

By Stanley Reynolds

THE OLD DEVILS, by Kingsley Amis (Hutchinson, £9.95).

THERE is so much soaking of pints and general guzzling of all sorts in Kingsley Amis's new novel that I found myself nervously consulting my watch to see if they were still open. That, I reckon, is a tribute to Mr Amis's vivid writing.

The Old Devils of the title are a group of drinking pals. They are

old men, retired, at an age when "all of a sudden the evening starts starting after breakfast" and, Mr Amis says, there is no real reason for staying sober.

The Old Devils is about Wales and how she has changed since the war and about Welshmen and how they have not changed. His targets are the prim and proper lace curtain Welsh and — an old Amis target — the bogus stage Welshman. This is Mr Amis having another go at Dylan Thomas, here called Brydan and making an appearance as a statue only — he is the late Brydan.

The hero, whom the action centres on, is sub-Dylan, a professional Welshman born Alan who has changed it to Alun and has made a nice living being Welsh on the telly in London. He decides to return to his roots, and comes to live in Wales with his still beautiful wife.

The best parts of the book are the comic scenes, with the old men crabbing on about how awful the tarts up pubs are — it comes as a surprise to them that this is not an English plot, that England has her pubs tarts up as well.

Mr Amis does this Welsh comic business very well, the book crack-

ling with marvellous tall comedy: "You can say a lot against the chapel but at least it kept them (homosexuals) down. And I reckon everybody being poor helped. They couldn't dress up or anything" — "Yes, Darren's a North Walian," said Emrys in the unshocked tone he might have used to announce that the lad was a soccer-player or a Roman Catholic. — "If you ask me, all the proper Welshmen are leaving Wales." "I say, are they really? Well, that's splendid news, George."

Now Mr Amis has a, perhaps well earned, reputation for male chauvinism. It is not in evidence here; indeed the female characters, although not much soberer than the men, are drawn with warmth, particularly Rhiannon, the wise wife of the bogus Welshman Alun. There is much warmth, too, about old Wales, the country of rain-soaked cobbled streets and dirty pubs, before it was "Amoricanised."

This is the lost Wales Mr Amis loves, not the bogus Wales of Alun's poetry and TV shows full of cute characters "on display like quaint objects in a souvenir shop." This is probably Mr Amis's best book since Lucky Jim.

Failure in a league of its own

By Kenneth O. Morgan

ANTHONY EDEN'S career was the supreme personal tragedy of post-war British politics. In his younger days, he established a rare charisma as the *jeune premier* of the League of Nations at Geneva, who apparently resigned as Foreign Secretary in protest at Britain's failure to stand up to the European dictators. During the war, he emerged as an international diplomat of the first rank. After 1961, he was not only Churchill's obvious heir-apparent, but a Foreign Secretary of distinguished achievement.

Then came his brief and inglorious premiership, and with it the utter debacle and national humiliation of Suez. Eden's reputation, especially in his chosen field of foreign affairs, was totally discredited. There had been no real recovery. Eden had been raised up and struck down with classical finality.

It is a pathetic story. There is much private sadness to record, too — the shattering impact of the carnage of the trenches during his service at the front; much family bickering, largely provoked by his mad mother; the prolonged ordeal of his first marriage which ended in divorce; the devastating news of his older son's death in an air crash during the 1945 election; frequent, serious ill-health, including a near-fatal operation mismanaged by the surgeon in 1953. For all his debonair, film-star image, poor Anthony Eden was seldom a happy man.

This extraordinary story is most admirably recounted in this official biography by Robert Rhodes James, MP, a distinguished historian now lost to "wet" Tory politics. In the past, he has written fine studies of Lords Randolph Churchill and Rosebery. He now invests Eden's life with a refined sense of late-Victorian consumptive melancholy.

He has rightly been given access to the 1956 public records, in advance of the 30-year-rule, which adds depth to his analysis (and which makes it deplorable that the publishers should provide neither citations of sources nor a proper bibliography). Rhodes James's impartiality does slip occasionally, especially in dealing with Labour personalities, but in general this is a finely-wrought contribution to historical literature, firm-minded,

ANTHONY EDEN, by Robert Rhodes James (Weidenfeld, £16.95).

restrained and full of wistful, autumnal pathos, like its unhappy subject.

From his entry into politics in the twenties, Eden's reputation was based on his skills in foreign affairs. He cut a fine figure at the



League. After the Hoare-Laval pact, he became Foreign Secretary at the youthful age of 38. There followed repeated clashes with Chamberlain, and Eden's dramatic resignation in February 1938.

How far he was a resolute opponent of appeasement is open to debate. Alan Taylor has written that Eden did not "face" the dictators, but only "pulled faces at them." The grounds for his original breach with Chamberlain concerned an exceptionally vague American proposal for an international initiative to explore a European settlement. Rhodes James does not make clear the fatality of Roosevelt's proposal, the work of a leading appeaser. Nor did Eden try to mobilise national opinion against the government in 1938-39. His speech after Munich was a tepid one, while he and Churchill kept their distance from one another. No "alliance" relationship here.

During the war, however, he rebuilt his international position

in allied wartime conferences, even though their general drift (especially Yalta) alarmed him. At the Foreign Office for the third time in 1951-5, despite much personal difficulty with Churchill and his yearning for summity, Eden was in many ways at his best.

His *annus mirabilis* was in 1954, which brought satisfactory settlements to Iran and Egypt, over western European defence, and above all in the Geneva conference where he prevailed over trigger-happy Americans and helped restore peace to south-east Asia at least for a short time. He became Prime Minister amidst general acclaim.

Ironically, it was foreign affairs which proved his downfall — specifically the Middle East where Eden, as an old Arabist at Oxford, had long prided himself on a special insight and expertise. He had always been a moderate here, an opponent of force over Abadan, the architect of Britain's military withdrawal from the Canal Zone in 1954. However, he now made mistakes after mistakes, driven on by a personal animus towards Nasser, whom a kind of inverted Munich complex led him to identify with the dictators fatally appeased twenty years earlier.

Most of the key decisions were essentially Eden's — the disastrous decision to back down from supporting the Awan dam project; the plans for military intervention in immediate response to nationalisation; the creation of an "inner Cabinet" excluding Butler; the secret manoeuvres with the French and Israelis in October 1956, which even Mr James has to call a "conspiracy" and "close to illegality."

The author, often rightly, places some of the blame on other shoulders. He shows the serpentine quality of US policy in the Middle East, especially that of Foster Dulles. He points to the lack of consistent support from others in the Cabinet, including, in the end, a complete *démarche* by Macmillan, previously a strong "hawk". He is critical of Gaitkell's ambiguous attitude and has some damaging comments on the latter's official biography on this score.

Even so, it is incontestable that the Prime Minister was the main architect of a misconceived, badly-

handled military invasion of Egypt that did immense harm.

Bevan ironically congratulated Selwyn Lloyd for "sounding the bugle of advance to cover his retreat." It severely, perhaps fatally, undermined Britain's international standing. Eden (who resigned due to ill-health soon after, even though he was to live on for a further 20 years) was the inevitable victim of the wrath that followed.

Eden had many fine qualities: he was courageous, moderate, decent. He presented the acceptable face of pre-Thatcherite "one-nation" Conservatism. He worked well with Labour colleagues. On such matters as the transfer of power in India, he was far more sensible than Churchill himself.

Yet his career is indelibly stamped with failure, and, if it is

viewed as a whole, and not just in terms of the events of 1956, the reasons become clear. Eden had only a limited acquaintance with British domestic politics and opinion. He was detached from his own party, with few close friends, and for this reason alone was a poor Prime Minister. His feeble, highly-strung personality did not make for calm decision-making in a crisis. He described himself once as "just a bloody primi donna," and his rages were legendary.

Eden was a survivor of the first world war, trying to work out its implications for his country in the different, rapidly-changing international policy after 1945. Both personalities, the "two kinds of man," jostling within him — the measured diplomat and the impulsive autocrat — tried to respond. In the end, tragically, both failed.

Come browsing in the best bookshop in the world.

THE GOOD BOOK GUIDE

Imagine a superbly stocked London bookshop on your doorstep.

A bookshop where you can browse at leisure, guided by helpful, professional assistants. A bookshop with the sort of service you thought was extinct.

That's how The Good Book Guide works. It's a highly efficient, London based mail-order bookshop, which dispatches books all over the world. And that's not all.

You can use the colour illustrated Guide, "Britain's liveliest and most worthwhile book magazine", as your personal catalogue. Books, hardback and paperback, are chosen on merit alone, and the Guide carries no advertising or publishers' puffs.

When you've chosen from the Guide, our bookshop is as near as your closest mailbox. And if you don't want to buy — there's no obligation.

SPECIAL INTRODUCTORY OFFER

Please send me a FREE copy of The Good Book Guide

Name

Address

Post to The Good Book Guide,
91, Great Russell St. London WC1, England

